


Encouraged me to ask questions and contribute to discussions 6.4)



n .						
Strongly agree	47.6% 47.6%	4.8%	0%	0%	Strongly disagree	n=21 av.=4.4 dev.=0.6
Strongly agree	5 4 52.4% 47.6%	3	2 0%	1 	Strongly disagree	n=21 av.=4.5 dev.=0.5
	5 4	3	2 	1 		n=21
Strongly agree		3	2		Strongly disagree	av.=4.3 dev.=0.6
Strongly agree	45% 50%	5%	0%	0%	Strongly disagree	n=20 av.=4.4 dev.=0.6 ab.=1
	Strongly agree Strongly agree Strongly agree	Strongly agree 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree $47.6\% 47.6\% 4.8\% 0\% 0\%$ Strongly agree $54 3 2 1$ 52.4% 47.6% 0% 0% 0% Strongly agree $52.4\% 47.6\% 0\% 0\% 0\%$ Strongly agree $52.4\% 47.6\% 0\% 0\% 0\%$ 5 4 3 2 1 33.3% 61.9% 4.8% 0% 0% Strongly agree $5 4 3 2 1$ 33.3% 61.9% 4.8% 0% 0%	Strongly agree $47.6\% 47.6\% 4.8\% 0\% 0\%$ Strongly agree 54321 Strongly agree $52.4\% 47.6\% 0\% 0\% 0\%$ Strongly agree $52.4\% 47.6\% 0\% 0\% 0\%$ Strongly agree $33.3\% 61.9\% 4.8\% 0\% 0\%$ Strongly agree $33.3\% 61.9\% 4.8\% 0\% 0\%$ Strongly agree $33.3\% 61.9\% 4.8\% 0\% 0\%$ Strongly agree $45\% 50\% 5\% 0\% 0\%$

Strongly agree

Profile

Subunit:

Responsible for modules: DR JOSE SUCH

Security Engineering (7CCSMSEN 2017/8 SEM1 000001)

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

rvey)

Informatics

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Security Engineering-General questions - The Module

1.1)	The module was intellectually stimulating	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.6
1.2)	The module was well organised	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.4	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
1.3)	The learning objectives of the module were set out clearly	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.7
1.4)	The module had helpful materials provided on KEATS and electronically	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.5	md=4.5	dev.=0.5
1.5)	The module had helpful materials provided in the library	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	n=15	av.=4.1	md=4.0	dev.=0.8
2.	For evaluations of tutorials/labs							
2.1)	The tutorials and/or labs were useful and helped me clarify things	Yes	•	No	n=19	av.=1.8	md=2.0	dev.=0.4
2.2)	The tutorials and/or labs were delivered by TA's who explained the material well	Yes	ļ	No	n=19	av.=1.7	md=2.0	dev.=0.5
3.	Inclusive classroom (yes/no respo	nses, stude	nts who answer 'no' are ask	ed to provide add	litional	comme	ents)	
3.1)	Did you feel that relevant perspectives and content were included that you would have	Yes		No	n=20	av.=1.8	md=2.0	dev.=0.4
3.3)	anticipated being covered in this module? In this module did you feel part of an inclusive learning community?	Yes	- /	No	n=20	av.=1.9	md=2.0	dev.=0.3
_								
4.	Assessment and feedback on asse	essment						
4.1)	The assessment methods were made clear in advance	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
4.2)	Feedback on my work has helped me clarify things I did not understand	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.8
4.3)	The criteria used in marking were made clear in advance	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	n=19	av.=4.2	md=4.0	dev.=0.9
4.4)	I received feedback on any coursework that I submitted for this module within 4 weeks	Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No	n=15	av.=2.0	md=2.0	dev.=0.0
_	0 "							
5.	Overall							
5.1)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of the module	Yes		No	n=19	av.=1.9	md=2.0	dev.=0.3
5.2)	I am confident that queries and feedback I give in this module will be addressed	Yes	<u>/</u>	No	n=19	av.=2.0	md=2.0	dev.=0.0

6. Security Engineering-Christian Urban - The Lecturer

6.1)	Was good at explaining things	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.4	md=4.0	dev.=0.6
6.2)	Was well-prepared	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.6
6.3)	Was effective in leading the class	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	n=19	av.=4.5	md=4.0	dev.=0.5
6.4)	Encouraged me to ask questions and contribute to discussions	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	n=19	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.5

7. Security Engineering-Jose Such - The Lecturer

7.1)	Was good at explaining things	Strongly agree	_		Strongly disagree	n=21	av.=4.4	md=4.0	dev.=0.6
7.2)	Was well-prepared	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	n=21	av.=4.5	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
7.3)	Was effective in leading the class	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	n=21	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.6
7.4)	Encouraged me to ask questions and contribute to discussions	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	n=20	av.=4.4	md=4.0	dev.=0.6

Comments Report

3. Inclusive classroom (yes/no responses, students who answer 'no' are asked to provide additional comments)

- ³²⁾ If you answered 'no' please use the space below to provide reasons for your answer
- For a postgraduate module the content was to easy. Furthermore we haven't discussed some thinks in depth
- I expect lab and real hacking environment.
- I was hopping for more practical excersise of breaking stuff, since learning hacking just in theory doesn't necessarily mean we will be able to do the same.
- The module is not technical enough.
- ³⁴⁾ If you answered 'no' please use the space below to provide reasons for your answer
- quite degree , learn only theory without practical .

5. Overall

- ^{5.3)} Please use this space to explain your answers to any of the above questions and/or to make further comments about your experiences that could help us develop the module (including comments on teaching spaces, audio visual, lecture capture)
- Coursework can be more and more practical tutorials and labs can be included
- Include a lab session so we simulate what we learn in theory.
- it would be better if teacher's voice be higher
- practical practice should be included . course work should be more. not only 10% and final 90%, for example 40%, 60%
- ^{5.4)} What did you like about this module?
- Lots of cool security topics and I liked that we also covered bitcoin
- New topics and how to think like hackers in term of security.
- The overall teaching method was really good.
- The structure of the course
- This module talked about the real life situations which was very interesting. Both the lecturers were very knowledgeable about the topics and were there to clear any doubts.
- variety of knowledge and technology, different perspective from looking to network from security point of view.
- ^{5.5)} What could be improved?
- In my opinion the module should be only for computing & security students, so that some topics can be described in more detail. For example the buffer overflow. Maybe a lab would be great to learn how to exploit vulnerabilities.
- Learning how to breack systems in simulated environment rather than just theory.
- More coursework
- More in depth topics.
- Provide examples from the real world
- The quality (more verbose and better formatting (1 slide per page)) of the second half of the modules slides perhaps
- add lab environment . increase mark for CW or to have mid term exam to have 40% CW wit mid term exam and 60% final mark.