Access Control and Privacy Policies (10)

Email: christian.urban at kcl.ac.uk

Office: S1.27 (1st floor Strand Building)

Slides: KEATS (also homework is there)

Revision

Security Engineering



Wright brothers, 1901



Airbus, 2005

1st Lecture

 chip-and-pin, banks vs. customers
 the one who can improve security should also be liable for the losses

ist Lecture

- chip-and-pin, banks vs. customers
 the one who can improve security should also be liable for the losses
- hashes and salts to guarantee data integrity
- storing passwords (you should know the difference between brute force attacks and dictionary attacks; how do salts help?)

- good uses of cookies?
- bad uses of cookies: snooping, tracking, profiling...the "disadvantage" is that the user is in control, because you can delete them
 - "Please track me using cookies."

- good uses of cookies?
- bad uses of cookies: snooping, tracking, profiling...the "disadvantage" is that the user is in control, because you can delete them
 - "Please track me using cookies."
- fingerprinting beyond browser cookies
 - Pixel Perfect: Fingerprinting Canvas in HTML5 (a research paper from 2012) http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/~hovav/papers/ms12.html

• a bit of JavaScript and HTML5 + canvas



Safari

55h2257ad0f20echf927fh66a15c61981f7ed8fc

17bc79f8111e345f572a4f87d6cd780b445625d3

• no actual drawing needed

a bit of JavaScript and HTML5 + canvas





55b2257ad0f20ecbf927fb66a15c61981f7ed8fc

17bc79f8111e345f572a4f87d6cd780b445625d3

- no actual drawing needed
- in May 2014 a crawl of 100,000 popular webpages revealed 5.5% already use canvas fingerprinting

https://securehomes.esat.kuleuven.be/~gacar/persistent/the_web_never_ forgets.pdf

Remember the small web-app I showed where a cookie protected a counter

- NYT, the cookie looks the "resource" harm
- imaginary discount unlocked by cookie no harm

2nd Lecture: E-Voting

Where are paper ballots better than voice voting?

- Integrity
- Ballot Secrecy
- Voter Authentication
- Enfranchisement
- Availability


2nd Lecture: E-Voting


• (two weeks ago) an Australian parliamentary committee found: e-voting is highly vulnerable to hacking and Australia will not use it any time soon


2nd Lecture: E-Voting


- (two weeks ago) an Australian parliamentary committee found: e-voting is highly vulnerable to hacking and Australia will not use it any time soon
- Alex Halderman, Washington D.C. hack
 https://jhalderm.com/pub/papers/dcvoting-fc12.pdf
- PDF-ballot tampering at the wireless router (the modification is nearly undetectable and leaves no traces; MITM attack with firmware updating)


http://galois.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/technical-hack-a-pdf.pdf



















































US National Vulnerability Database (636 out of 6675 in 2014)



4rd Lecture: Unix Access Control

 privileges are specified by file access permissions ("everything is a file")



 the idea is to make the attack surface smaller and mitigate the consequences of an attack

4rd Lecture: Unix Access Control

 when a file with setuid is executed, the resulting process will assume the UID given to the owner of the file

```
$ ls -ld . * */*
drwxr-xr-x 1 ping staff 32768 Apr 2 2010 .
-rw---r-- 1 ping students 31359 Jul 24 2011 manual.txt
-r--rw--w- 1 bob students 4359 Jul 24 2011 report.txt
-rwsr--r-x 1 bob students 141359 Jun 1 2013 microedit
dr--r-xr-x 1 bob staff 32768 Jul 23 2011 src
-rw-r--r- 1 bob staff 81359 Feb 28 2012 src/code.c
-r--rw---- 1 emma students 959 Jan 23 2012 src/code.h
```

4rd Lecture: Unix Access Control

• Alice wants to have her files readable, except for her office mates.

5rd Lecture: Protocols

Simple Challenge Response (solving the replay problem):

```
A \rightarrow B: Hi I am A
```

 $B \rightarrow A : N$ (challenge)

 $A \rightarrow B: \{N\}_{K_{AB}}$

5rd Lecture: Protocols

Simple Challenge Response (solving the replay problem):

```
A \rightarrow B: Hi I am A
```

 $B \rightarrow A : N$ (challenge)

 $A \rightarrow B: \{N\}_{K_{AB}}$

Mutual Challenge Response:

 $A \rightarrow B: N_A$

 $B \rightarrow A: \{N_A, N_B\}_{K_{AB}}$

 $A \rightarrow B: N_B$

5rd Lecture: Protocols

A car-transponder protocol:

- © C generates a random number N
- $C \rightarrow T: N, F$
- **1** T checks that F = F'
- **O** C checks that G = G'

Authentication: $T \rightarrow C$, $C \rightarrow T$?

5rd Lecture: Protocols

The interlock protocol ("best bet" against MITM):

I.
$$A \rightarrow B : K_A^{pub}$$

 2. $B \rightarrow A : K_B^{pub}$

 3. $\{A, m\}_{K_B^{pub}} \mapsto H_1, H_2$
 $\{B, m'\}_{K_A^{pub}} \mapsto M_1, M_2$

 4. $A \rightarrow B : H_1$

 5. $B \rightarrow A : \{H_1, M_1\}_{K_A^{pub}}$

 6. $A \rightarrow B : \{H_2, M_1\}_{K_B^{pub}}$

 7. $B \rightarrow A : M_2$

5rd Lecture: Protocols

The interlock protocol ("best bet" against MITM):

I.
$$A \rightarrow B : K_A^{pub}$$

 2. $B \rightarrow A : K_B^{pub}$

 3. $\{A, m\}_{K_B^{pub}} \mapsto H_1, H_2$
 $\{B, m'\}_{K_A^{pub}} \mapsto M_1, M_2$

 4. $A \rightarrow B : H_1$

 5. $B \rightarrow A : \{H_1, M_1\}_{K_A^{pub}}$

 6. $A \rightarrow B : \{H_2, M_1\}_{K_B^{pub}}$

 7. $B \rightarrow A : M_2$

m = How is your grandmother? m' = How is the weather today in London?

Access Control Logic

Ross Anderson about the use of Logic:

"Formal methods can be an excellent way of finding bugs in security protocol designs as they force the designer to make everything explicit and thus confront difficult design choices that might otherwise be fudged."

Access Control Logic

$$F ::= true$$

$$| false$$

$$| a(t_1, ..., t_n)$$

$$| F_1 \wedge F_2$$

$$| F_1 \vee F_2$$

$$| F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$$

$$| P says F$$

where P = Alice, Bob, Christian

• HoD says is_staff(Christian)

Access Control Logic

...can be used for answering the following questions:

- To what conclusions does this protocol come?
- What assumptions are needed for this protocol?
- Does the protocol uses unnecessary actions, which can be left out?
- Does the protocol encrypt anything which could be sent in plain, without weakening the security?

5th Lecture: Protocols

An article in The Guardian from 2013 reveals how GCHQ and the NSA at a G20 Summit in 2009 sniffed emails from Internet cafes, monitored phone calls from delegates and attempted to listen on phone calls which were made by Russians and which were transmitted via satellite links:

http://www.theguardian.com/uk/2013/jun/16/
gchq-intercepted-communications-g20-summits

6th Lecture: Zero-Knowledge Proofs

7th Lecture: Privacy

 de-anonymisation attacks (Netflix, DNA databases, ...)

7th Lecture: Privacy

differential privacy for anonymising research data

User
$$tell me f(x) \Rightarrow Database$$

 $\Leftarrow f(x) + noise$ x_1, \dots, x_n

- f(x) can be released, if f is insensitive to individual entries x_1, \ldots, x_n
- The intuition: whatever is learned from the dataset would be learned regardless of whether x_i participates

7th Lecture: Privacy

differential privacy for anonymising research data

User
$$tell me f(x) \Rightarrow Database$$

 $\Leftarrow f(x) + noise$ x_1, \dots, x_n

- f(x) can be released, if f is insensitive to individual entries x_1, \ldots, x_n
- The intuition: whatever is learned from the dataset would be learned regardless of whether x_i participates

8th Lecture: Bitcoins

• conclusion: not anonymous, not free from (potential) government interference

• The department has large labs full of computers that are pretty much idle over night. Why is it a bad idea to let them mine for Bitcoins?

8th Lecture: Bitcoins

• conclusion: not anonymous, not free from (potential) government interference

- The department has large labs full of computers that are pretty much idle over night. Why is it a bad idea to let them mine for Bitcoins?
- other cryptocurrencies (Litecoins,...)
 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cryptocurrency

9th Lecture: Static Analysis

- more principled way of writing software
- testing can show the presence of bugs, but not their absence
- "A good attack is one that the engineers never even thought about." —Bruce Schneier



9th Lecture

- model checking
- program logics (Hoare logics, separation logic)
- specifications / correctness proofs

Further Reading

• Risks mailing list

http://catless.ncl.ac.uk/Risks

Crypto-Gram

https://www.schneier.com/crypto-gram.html

• Light blue touchpaper

https://www.lightbluetouchpaper.org

- you can still send me your hws
- projects