### Access Control and Privacy Policies (3)

Email: christian.urban at kcl.ac.uk Office: S1.27 (1st floor Strand Building) Slides: KEATS (also home work is there)

# Network Applications: Privilege Separation



- the idea is make the attack surface smaller and mitigate the consequences of an attack
- you need an OS that supports different roles (root vs. users)

#### Weaknesses of Unix AC

- if you have too many roles (for example too finegrained AC), then hierarchy is too complex you invite situations like...let's be root
- you can still abuse the system...

A "Cron"-Attack

The idea is to trick a privileged person to do something on your behalf:

• root:

rm /tmp/\*/\*

A "Cron"-Attack

The idea is to trick a privileged person to do something on your behalf:

• root:
 rm /tmp/\*/\*

the shell behind the scenes:
rm /tmp/dir<sub>1</sub>/file<sub>1</sub> /tmp/dir<sub>1</sub>/file<sub>2</sub> /tmp/dir<sub>2</sub>/file<sub>1</sub> ...

this takes time

APP 03, King's College London - p. 4/37

### A "Cron"-Attack

- attacker (creates a fake passwd file)
  mkdir /tmp/a; cat > /tmp/a/passwd
- or (does the daily cleaning)
  rm /tmp/\*/\*

records that /tmp/a/passwd should be deleted, but does not do it yet

- attacker (meanwhile deletes the fake passwd file, and establishes a link to the real passwd file) rm /tmp/a/passwd; rmdir /tmp/a; ln -s /etc /tmp/a
- I root now deletes the real passwd file

### A "Cron"-Attack

- attacker (creates a fake passwd file)
  mkdir /tmp/a; cat > /tmp/a/passwd
- ro To prevent this kind of attack, you need additional policies (don't do such operations as root).

should be deleted, but does not do it yet

- attacker (meanwhile deletes the fake passwd file, and establishes a link to the real passwd file) rm /tmp/a/passwd; rmdir /tmp/a; ln -s /etc /tmp/a
- I root now deletes the real passwd file

#### **Buffer Overflow Attacks**



#### lectures so far

APP 03, King's College London - p. 6/37

#### **Buffer Overflow Attacks**



#### lectures so far





APP 03, King's College London - p. 6/37

### **Smash the Stack for Fun...**

- Buffer Overflow Attacks or Smashing the Stack Attacks
- one of the most popular attacks, unfortunately (> 50% of security incidents reported at CERT are related to buffer overflows)

http://www.kb.cert.org/vuls

• made popular in an article from 1996 by Elias Levy (also known as Aleph One):

"Smashing The Stack For Fun and Profit"

http://phrack.org/issues/49/14.html

# **A Long Printed "Twice"**

```
#include <string.h>
т
  #include <stdio.h>
2
3
   void foo (char *bar)
4
   {
5
      long my long = 101010101; // in hex: \xB5\x4A\x05\x06
6
      char buffer[28];
7
8
      printf("my long value = %lu\n", my long);
9
      strcpy(buffer, bar);
10
      printf("my long value = %lu\n", my long);
II
  }
12
13
   int main (int argc, char **argv)
14
   {
15
     foo("my string is too long !!!!!");
16
     return 0;
17
  }
т8
```

# **Printing Out Zombies**

```
#include <string.h>
т
   #include <stdio.h>
2
   #include <stdlib.h>
3
4
   void dead () {
5
     printf("I will never be printed!\n");
6
     exit(1);
7
   }
8
9
   void foo(char *bar) {
10
     char buffer[8];
TΤ
     strcpy(buffer, bar);
12
   }
13
14
   int main(int argc, char **argv) {
15
     foo(argv[1]);
16
     return 1;
17
   }
т8
```

# A "Login" Function (1)

```
int i;
I
   char ch;
2
3
   void get_line(char *dst) {
4
     char buffer[8];
5
     i = 0;
6
     while ((ch = getchar()) != ' \n') {
7
        buffer[i++] = ch;
8
     }
9
     buffer[i] = ^{\prime}0^{\prime};
τO
     strcpy(dst, buffer);
II
   }
12
13
   int match(char *s1, char *s2) {
14
     while(*s1 != '\0' && *s2 != '\0' && *s1 == *s2){
15
        s1++; s2++;
16
     }
17
     return( *s1 - *s2 );
т8
   }
19
```

# A "Login" Function (2)

```
void welcome() { printf("Welcome!\n"); exit(0); }
I
   void goodbye() { printf("Wrong identity, exiting!\n"); exit(1); }
2
3
   int main(){
     char name[8];
5
     char pw[8];
6
7
     printf("login: ");
8
     get_line(name);
9
     printf("password: ");
IO
     get_line(pw);
II
12
     if(match(name, pw) == 0)
13
       welcome();
14
     else
15
       goodbye();
16
   }
17
```

# What the Hell Is Going On?

• Let's start with a very simple program:

```
void foo(int a, int b, int c) {
    char buffer1[6] = "abcde";
    char buffer2[10] = "123456789";
  }
void main() {
    foo(1,2,3);
  }
```



• each process will get a chunk of memory that is organised as follows:





#### **Behind the Scenes**

#### machine code

APP 03, King's College London - p. 15/37



char buf[8] = "AAAAAAAABBBB\xf4\x83\x04\x08\x00"



- the idea is that you store some code in the buffer (the payload)
- you then override the return address to execute this payload
- normally you start a root-shell



- the idea is that you store some code in the buffer (the payload)
- you then override the return address to execute this payload
- normally you start a root-shell
- difficulty is to guess the right place where to "jump"

# **Starting A Shell**

#### char shellcode[] =

"\xeb\x1f\x5e\x89\x76\x08\x31\xc0\x88\x46\x07\x89"
"\x46\x0c\xb0\x0b\x89\xf3\x8d\x4e\x08\x8d\x56\x0c"
"\xcd\x80\x31\xdb\x89\xd8\x40\xcd\x80\xe8\xdc\xff"
"\xff\xff/bin/sh";

```
#include <stdio.h>
void main()
{ char *name[2];
   name[0] = "/bin/sh";
   name[1] = NULL;
   execve(name[0], name, NULL);
}
```



 another difficulty is that the code is not allowed to contain \x00:

```
xorl %eax, %eax
```

```
void strcpy(char *src, char *dst) {
    int i = 0;
    while (src[i] != "\0") {
        dst[i] = src[i];
        i = i + 1;
    }
}
```

### **Overflow.c**

```
char large_string[128];
```

```
void main() {
    char buffer[96];
    int i;
    long *long_ptr = (long *) large_string;
    for (i = 0; i < 32; i++)</pre>
```

for (i = 0; i < 32; i++)



There are many variants:

- return-to-lib-C attacks
- heap-smashing attacks (Slammer Worm in 2003 infected 90% of vulnerable systems within 10 minutes)
- "zero-days-attacks" (new unknown vulnerability)

# **Format String Vulnerability**

string is nowhere used:

```
#include<stdio.h>
т
2
   #include<string.h>
3
   // a program that "just" prints the argument
  // on the command line
6
7
   int main(int argc, char **argv)
8
   {
9
      char *string = "This is a secret string\n";
τo
TΤ
      printf(argv[1]);
12
  }
13
```

#### this vulnerability can be used to read out the stack

APP 03, King's College London - p. 22/37

# **Protections against Buffer Overflow Attacks**

- use safe library functions
- stack caneries
- ensure stack data is not executable (can be defeated)
- address space randomisation (makes one-size-fits-all more difficult)
- choice of programming language (one of the selling points of Java)

# Network Applications: Privilege Separation



- the idea is make the attack surface smaller and mitigate the consequences of an attack
- you need an OS that supports different roles (root vs. users)

#### Weaknesses of Unix AC

Not just restricted to Unix:

- if you have too many roles (i.e. too finegrained AC), then hierarchy is too complex you invite situations like...let's be root
- you can still abuse the system...

### A "Cron"-Attack

- attacker (creates a fake passwd file)
  mkdir /tmp/a; cat > /tmp/a/passwd
- or (does the daily cleaning)
  rm /tmp/\*/\*

records that /tmp/a/passwd should be deleted, but does not do it yet

- attacker (meanwhile deletes the fake passwd file, and establishes a link to the real passwd file) rm /tmp/a/passwd; rmdir /tmp/a; ln -s /etc /tmp/a
- I root now deletes the real passwd file

### A "Cron"-Attack

- attacker (creates a fake passwd file)
  mkdir /tmp/a; cat > /tmp/a/passwd
- To prevent this kind of attack, you rn need additional policies (don't do such operations as root).

should be deleted, but does not do it yet

- attacker (meanwhile deletes the fake passwd file, and establishes a link to the real passwd file) rm /tmp/a/passwd; rmdir /tmp/a; ln -s /etc /tmp/a
- I root now deletes the real passwd file

### **The Problem**

• The basic problem is that library routines in C look as follows:

```
void strcpy(char *src, char *dst) {
    int i = 0;
    while (src[i] != "\0") {
        dst[i] = src[i];
        i = i + 1;
    }
}
```

• Prevent common vulnerabilities from occurring (e.g. buffer overflows)

- Prevent common vulnerabilities from occurring (e.g. buffer overflows)
- Recover from attacks (traceability and auditing of security-relevant actions)

- Prevent common vulnerabilities from occurring (e.g. buffer overflows)
- Recover from attacks (traceability and auditing of security-relevant actions)
- Monitoring (detect attacks)

- Prevent common vulnerabilities from occurring (e.g. buffer overflows)
- Recover from attacks (traceability and auditing of security-relevant actions)
- Monitoring (detect attacks)
- Privacy, confidentiality, anonymity (to protect secrets)

- Prevent common vulnerabilities from occurring (e.g. buffer overflows)
- Recover from attacks (traceability and auditing of security-relevant actions)
- Monitoring (detect attacks)
- Privacy, confidentiality, anonymity (to protect secrets)
- Authenticity (needed for access control)

- Prevent common vulnerabilities from occurring (e.g. buffer overflows)
- Recover from attacks (traceability and auditing of security-relevant actions)
- Monitoring (detect attacks)
- Privacy, confidentiality, anonymity (to protect secrets)
- Authenticity (needed for access control)
- Integrity (prevent unwanted modification or tampering)

- Prevent common vulnerabilities from occurring (e.g. buffer overflows)
- Recover from attacks (traceability and auditing of security-relevant actions)
- Monitoring (detect attacks)
- Privacy, confidentiality, anonymity (to protect secrets)
- Authenticity (needed for access control)
- Integrity (prevent unwanted modification or tampering)
- Availability and reliability (reduce the risk of DoS attacks)



- Assume format string attacks allow you to read out the stack. What can you do with this information?
- Assume you can crash a program remotely. Why is this a problem?

### **Access Control in Unix**

- access control provided by the OS
- authenticate principals (login)
- mediate access to files, ports, processes according to roles (user ids)
- roles get attached with privileges

The principle of least privilege: programs should only have as much privilege as they need



access control in Unix is very coarse

#### root

#### user<sub>1</sub> user<sub>2</sub> ...www, mail, lp

root has UID = 0

APP 03, King's College London - p. 31/37



access control in Unix is very coarse

#### root

#### user<sub>1</sub> user<sub>2</sub> ...www, mail, lp

root has UID = 0

you also have groups that can share access to a file but it is difficult to exclude access selectively

### Access Control in Unix (2)

- privileges are specified by file access permissions ("everything is a file")
- there are 9 (plus 2) bits that specify the permissions of a file

# **Login Process**

• login processes run under UID = 0

after login, shells run under UID = user (e.g. 501)
 id cu

# **Login Process**

• login processes run under UID = 0

• after login, shells run under UID = user (e.g. 501)

#### id cu

- non-root users are not allowed to change the UID would break access control
- but needed for example for passwd

# **Setuid and Setgid**

The solution is that unix file permissions are 9 + <u>2 Bits</u>: Setuid and Setgid Bits

- When a file with setuid is executed, the resulting process will assume the UID given to the owner of the file.
- This enables users to create processes as root (or another user).
- Essential for changing passwords, for example.

#### chmod 4755 fobar\_file

# Privilege Separation in OpenSSH



- pre-authorisation slave
- post-authorisation
- 25% codebase is privileged, 75% is unprivileged

# **Network Applications**

ideally network application in Unix should be designed as follows:

- need two distinct processes
  - one that listens to the network; has no privilege
  - one that is privileged and listens to the latter only (but does not trust it)
- to implement this you need a parent process, which forks a child process
- this child process drops privileges and listens to hostile data
- after authentication the parent forks again and the new child becomes the user

• 1pr unfortunately runs with root privileges; you had the option to delete files after printing ...

• 1pr unfortunately runs with root privileges; you had the option to delete files after printing ...

- 1pr unfortunately runs with root privileges; you had the option to delete files after printing ...
- for debugging purposes (FreeBSD) Unix provides a "core dump", but allowed to follow links ...

- 1pr unfortunately runs with root privileges; you had the option to delete files after printing ...
- for debugging purposes (FreeBSD) Unix provides a "core dump", but allowed to follow links ...
- mkdir foo is owned by root

-rwxr-xr-x 1 root wheel /bin/mkdir

it first creates an i-node as root and then changes to ownership to the user's id

(race condition - can be automated with a shell script)

- 1pr unfortunately runs with root privileges; you had the option to delete files after printing ...
- for de Only failure makes us experts. Theo de Raadt (OpenBSD, OpenSSH)
  mkdir

-rwxr-xr-x 1 root wheel /bin/mkdir

it first creates an i-node as root and then changes to ownership to the user's id (race condition – can be automated with a shell script)