#### **Nominal Techniques Course**

## Thursday-Lecture

#### Christian Urban **W** University of Cambridge

$$
\pi\boldsymbol{\cdot} fn \stackrel{\sf def}{=} \lambda x.\pi\boldsymbol{\cdot} (fn(\pi^{-1}\boldsymbol{\cdot} x))
$$

Example  $\lambda x.\text{pr}(a,x)$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!fn} \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!}(fn(\boldsymbol{\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x}))
$$

Example  $\lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x)$ : What is this function?

. . .

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}a & \mapsto & \mathsf{pr}(a,a)\\ b & \mapsto & \mathsf{pr}(a,b)\\ c & \mapsto & \mathsf{pr}(a,c)\\ d & \mapsto & \mathsf{pr}(a,d) \end{array}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!fn} \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!}(fn(\boldsymbol{\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x}))
$$

Example  $\lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x)$ : What is this function?

. . .

$$
\begin{array}{c} a \mapsto \text{pr}(a, a) \\ (a \ b) \cdot \begin{array}{c} b \mapsto \text{pr}(a, b) \\ c \mapsto \text{pr}(a, c) \\ d \mapsto \text{pr}(a, d) \end{array} \end{array}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!fn} \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!}(fn(\boldsymbol{\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x}))
$$

Example  $\lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x)$ : What is this function?

. . .

$$
\begin{array}{c} a \mapsto \text{pr}(a, a) \\ \text{(} a b \text{)} \cdot \begin{array}{c} b \mapsto \text{pr}(a, b) \\ c \mapsto \text{pr}(a, c) \\ d \mapsto \text{pr}(a, d) \end{array} \end{array}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!fn} \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!}(fn(\boldsymbol{\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x}))
$$

Example  $\lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x)$ : What is this function?

. . .

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}b&\mapsto&\mathsf{pr}(b,b)\\a&\mapsto&\mathsf{pr}(b,a)\\c&\mapsto&\mathsf{pr}(b,c)\\d&\mapsto&\mathsf{pr}(b,d)\end{array}
$$

which is the function  $\lambda x.\text{pr}(b, x)$ .

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\cdot fn}\stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=}\lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\bullet}(fn(\boldsymbol{\pi^{-1}\cdot x}))
$$

So  $(a b) \cdot \lambda x . pr(a, x) = \lambda x . pr(b, x)!$ 

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!fn} \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!}(fn(\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x))
$$

So  $(a\,b)\cdot \lambda x.\text{pr}(a,x) = \lambda x.\text{pr}(b,x)$ !  $(a\ b) \cdot \lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x)$ = $= \lambda y.(ab) \cdot ((\lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x))((a b) \cdot y))$ 

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!fn} \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!}(fn(\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x))
$$

So  $(a\,b)\cdot \lambda x.\text{pr}(a,x) = \lambda x.\text{pr}(b,x)$ !  $(a\ b) \cdot \lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x)$ = $= \lambda y.(ab) \cdot ((\lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x))((a b) \cdot y))$ = $= \lambda y.(a b) \cdot pr(a, (a b) \cdot y)$ 

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!fn} \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!}(fn(\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x))
$$

So  $(a\,b)\cdot \lambda x.\text{pr}(a,x) = \lambda x.\text{pr}(b,x)!$  $(a b) \cdot \lambda x . pr(a, x)$ = $= \lambda y.(ab) \cdot ((\lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x))((a b) \cdot y))$ = $= \lambda y.(a b) \cdot pr(a, (a b) \cdot y)$ = $= \lambda y.\text{pr}((a b) \cdot a, (a b) \cdot (a b) \cdot y)$ 

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!fn} \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \lambda x.\boldsymbol{\pi\!\bullet\!}(fn(\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x))
$$

So  $(a\,b)\cdot \lambda x.\text{pr}(a,x) = \lambda x.\text{pr}(b,x)$ !  $(a b) \cdot \lambda x . pr(a, x)$ = $= \lambda y.(ab) \cdot ((\lambda x.\text{pr}(a, x))((a b) \cdot y))$ = $= \lambda y.(a b) \cdot pr(a, (a b) \cdot y)$ = $= \lambda y.\text{pr}((a b) \cdot a, (a b) \cdot (a b) \cdot y)$ = $= \ \lambda y.\mathsf{pr}(b,y)$ 

## **Equality on Functions**

The question arose whether  $(a \neq b)$ :  $[a].[a].am(a) = [b].[a].am(a)$ ?

Well, if we knew  
\n
$$
\blacksquare \pi \bullet ([a].t) = [\pi \bullet a] \cdot (\pi \bullet t)
$$
\n
$$
\blacksquare t_1 = t_2 \Leftrightarrow [a].t_1 = [a].t_2
$$
\n
$$
\blacksquare a \neq b \Rightarrow (t_1 = (a b) \bullet t_2 \land a \neq t_2 \Leftrightarrow [a].t_1 = [b].t_2)
$$

we could easily decide this question, namely:

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$  where  $a \neq b$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$  where  $a \neq b$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$  where  $a \neq b$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$ iff  $[a] . am(a) = (a b) \cdot [a] . am(a)$ and  $a \# [a] . am(a)$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$  where  $a \neq b$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$ iff  $[a] . am(a) = [b] . am(b)$ and  $a \# [a].$ am $(a)$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$  where  $a \neq b$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$ iff  $[a] . am(a) = [b] . am(b)$ and  $a \# [a].$ am $(a)$ 

iff  $am(a) = am(a)$ and  $a \# am(b)$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$  where  $a \neq b$ 

 $[a].[a].$ am $(a) = [b].[a].$ am $(a)$ iff  $[a] . am(a) = [b] . am(b)$ and  $a \# [a].$ am $(a)$ iff  $am(a) = am(a)$ 

and  $a \# am(b)$ 

Nancy, 18+19. August 2004 – p.5 (6/6)

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \bullet ([a].t) = [\boldsymbol{\pi} \bullet a] . (\boldsymbol{\pi} \bullet t)
$$

which is:

Nancy, 18+19. August 2004 – p.6 (1/8)

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} ([a].t) = [\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} a] . (\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} t)
$$

which is:

 $\lambda x.\pi \cdot$  if  $\pi^{-1} \cdot x = a$  then t else if  $\pi^{-1} \cdot x \neq t$  then  $(a \pi^{-1} \cdot x) \cdot t$  else er  $x=\lambda x.$ if  $x=\pi\bullet a$  then  $\pi\bullet t$ else if  $x \# \pi \bullet t$  then  $(\pi \bullet a \ x) \bullet \pi \bullet t$  else er

$$
[a].t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda x. \text{if } x = a \text{ then } t
$$
  
else if  $x \neq t$  then  $(x a) \cdot t$  else er

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \,{\raisebox{.5mm}{\text{\circle*{1.5}}}}\, ( [a].t) = [\boldsymbol{\pi} \,{\raisebox{.5mm}{\text{\circle*{1.5}}}}\, a] . (\boldsymbol{\pi} \,{\raisebox{.5mm}{\text{\circle*{1.5}}}}\, t)
$$

which is:

$$
\lambda x.\pi \cdot \text{if } \pi^{-1} \cdot x = a \text{ then } t
$$
\n
$$
\text{else if } \pi^{-1} \cdot x \neq t \text{ then } (a \ \pi^{-1} \cdot x) \cdot t \text{ else er}
$$
\n
$$
= \lambda x.\text{if } x \leq \pi \cdot a \text{ then } \pi \cdot t
$$
\n
$$
\text{else if } x \neq \pi \cdot t \text{ then } (\pi \cdot a \ x) \cdot \pi \cdot t \text{ else er}
$$
\n
$$
\pi \cdot \text{if } \dots \text{ then } \dots \text{ else } \dots =
$$
\n
$$
\text{if } \dots \text{ then } \pi \cdot \dots \text{ else } \pi \cdot \dots
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} ([a].t) = [\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} a] . (\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} t)
$$

which is:

 $\lambda x.$ if  $\pi^{-1} \cdot x = a$  then  $\pi \cdot t$ else if  $\pi^{-1}\bullet x\;\#\;t$  then  $\pi\bullet(a\;\;\pi^{-1}\bullet x)\bullet t$  else er  $x=\lambda x.$ if  $x=\pi\bullet a$  then  $\pi\bullet t$ else if  $x \# \pi \bullet t$  then  $(\pi \bullet a \ x) \bullet \pi \bullet t$  else er

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} ([a].t) = [\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} a] . (\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} t)
$$

which is:

 $\lambda x.$ if  $\pi^{-1} \cdot x = a$  then  $\pi \cdot t$ else if  $\pi^{-1}\bullet x\;\#\;t$  then  $\pi\bullet(a\;\;\pi^{-1}\bullet x)\bullet t$  else er  $x=\lambda x.$ if  $x=\pi\bullet a$  then  $\pi\bullet t$ else if  $x\;\#\;\pi\,\bullet\, t$  then  $(\!\pi\bullet a\; \;x)\bullet\pi\,\bullet\, t$  else er

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\pi \bullet (a\ \pi^{-1} \bullet x) \bullet t \\
= (\pi \bullet a\ \pi \bullet \pi^{-1} \bullet x) \bullet \pi \bullet t \\
= (\pi \bullet a\ \ x) \bullet \pi \bullet t\n\end{array}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} ([a].t) = [\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} a] . (\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} t)
$$

which is:

 $\lambda x.$ if  $\pi^{-1} \cdot x = a$  then  $\pi \cdot t$ else if  $\pi^{-1} \cdot x \neq t$  then  $(\pi \cdot a \ x) \cdot \pi \cdot t$  else er  $x=\lambda x.$ if  $x=\pi\bullet a$  then  $\pi\bullet t$ else if  $x \# \pi \bullet t$  then  $(\pi \bullet a \ x) \bullet \pi \bullet t$  else er

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} ([a].t) = [\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} a] . (\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} t)
$$

which is:

 $\lambda x.$ if  $\pi^{-1} \cdot x = a$  then  $\pi \cdot t$ else if  $\pi^{-1}_{\ast}\bullet x\;\#\;t$  then  $(\pi\bullet a\; \;x)\bullet\pi\bullet t$  else er  $x=\lambda x.$ if  $x=\pi\lambda a$  then  $\pi\bullet t$ else if  $x \# \pi$ +then  $(\pi \cdot a \ x) \cdot \pi \cdot t$  else er  $\pi^{-1}\!\bullet\!x\;\#\;t$  iff  $x\;\#\;\pi\!\bullet\!t$ 

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} ([a].t) = [\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} a] . (\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\cdot} t)
$$

which is:

 $\lambda x.$ if  $\pi^{-1} \cdot x = a$  then  $\pi \cdot t$ else if  $x \# \pi \cdot t$  then  $(\pi \cdot a \ x) \cdot \pi \cdot t$  else er  $x=\lambda x.$ if  $x=\pi\bullet a$  then  $\pi\bullet t$ else if  $x \# \pi \cdot t$  then  $(\pi \cdot a \ x) \cdot \pi \cdot t$  else er

Done.

$$
[a].t_1=[a].t_2\Rightarrow t_1=t_2
$$

which means we can assume that:

 $\lambda x$ .if  $x = a$  then  $t_1$ else if  $x \neq t_1$  then  $(a x) \cdot t_1$  else er  $\;=\; \lambda x.$ if  $x = a$  then  $t_2$ else if  $x \neq t_2$  then  $(a x) \cdot t_2$  else er

$$
[a].t_1=[a].t_2\Rightarrow t_1=t_2
$$

which means we can assume that:

```
\forall x. if x = a then t_1else if x \neq t_1 then (a x) \cdot t_1 else er
\simif x = a then t_2else if x \neq t_2 then (a x) \cdot t_2 else er
```

$$
[a].t_1=[a].t_2\Rightarrow t_1=t_2
$$

which means we can assume that:

```
if a = a then t_1else if a \# t_1 then (a a) \bullet t_1 else er
\simif a = a then t_2else if a \# t_2 then (a a) \bullet t_2 else er
```

$$
[a].t_1=[a].t_2\Rightarrow t_1=t_2
$$

which means we can assume that:

 $t_1$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $t<sub>2</sub>$ 

Done.

#### Lemma:  $a \neq b \wedge b \neq [a].t \Rightarrow b \neq t$

#### Lemma:  $a \neq b \wedge b \neq [a].t \Rightarrow b \neq t$

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, b, t, [a].t)$  "finitely supported"

Lemma:  $a \neq b \wedge b \neq [a].t \Rightarrow b \neq t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, b, t, [a].t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $(b c) \cdot [a].t = [a].t$  from (1) + ass.

Lemma:  $a \neq b \wedge b \neq [a].t \Rightarrow b \neq t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, b, t, [a].t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $[a] \cdot ((b c) \cdot t) = [a] \cdot t$  from (1) + ass

Lemma:  $a \neq b \wedge b \neq [a].t \Rightarrow b \neq t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, b, t, [a].t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $[a]$ . $((b c) \cdot t) = [a]$ .t from  $(1)$  + ass (3)  $(b c) \cdot t = t$  by "same abstraction"

Lemma:  $a \neq b \wedge b \neq [a].t \Rightarrow b \neq t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, b, t, [a].t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $[a] \cdot ((b c) \cdot t) = [a] \cdot t$  from (1) + ass (3)  $(b c) \cdot t = t$  by "same abstraction" (4)  $(b c) \cdot c \neq (b c) \cdot t$  from  $c \neq t$ 

Lemma:  $a \neq b \wedge b \neq [a].t \Rightarrow b \neq t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, b, t, [a].t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $[a] \cdot ((b c) \cdot t) = [a] \cdot t$  from (1) + ass (3)  $(b c) \cdot t = t$  by "same abstraction" (4)  $b \neq t$  from  $c \neq t$  and (3)

Lemma:  $a \neq b \wedge b \neq [a].t \Rightarrow b \neq t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, b, t, [a].t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $[a]$ . $((b c) \cdot t) = [a]$ .t from  $(1)$  + ass (3)  $(b c) \cdot t = t$  by "same abstraction" (4)  $b \neq t$  from  $c \neq t$  and (3) Done.



Lemma:  $a \# [a].t$ 



Lemma:  $a \# [a].t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, t)$  "finitely supported"



Lemma:  $a \# [a].t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $c \neq [a].t$  by (Freshness 1)

Lemma:  $a \# [a].t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $c \neq [a].t$  by (Freshness 1) (3)  $(a c) \cdot c \neq (a c) \cdot [a].t$  from (2)

Lemma:  $a \# [a].t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $c \neq [a].t$  by (Freshness 1) (3)  $a \# [c] . ((a c) \cdot t)$  from (2)

**Lemma:**  $a \# [a].t$ 

Proof:

- (1)  $(\exists c)c \neq (a, t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $c \neq [a].t$  by (Freshness 1) (3)  $a \# [c] . ((a c) \cdot t)$  from (2) (4)  $[c] \cdot ((a c) \cdot t) = [a] \cdot t$  provided  $c \neq t$  and
	- $(a c) \cdot t = (a c) \cdot t$

Lemma:  $a \# [a].t$ 

Proof:

(1)  $(\exists c)c \# (a, t)$  "finitely supported" (2)  $c \neq [a].t$  by (Freshness 1) (3)  $a \# [c] . ((a c) \cdot t)$  from (2) (4)  $[c] \cdot ((a c) \cdot t) = [a] \cdot t$  provided  $c \neq t$  and

 $(a c) \cdot t = (a c) \cdot t$ 

Both hold, therefore  $a \# [a].t$ Done.

# **Equivariance**



slightly unusual definition for equivariance.

# **Equivariance**

#### eqvt $(P) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\forall t : \Lambda_a)(\forall x : FSType)(\forall pi)$  $P \, t \, x \Rightarrow P(\pi \,{\scriptstyle \bullet } \, t)(\pi \,{\scriptstyle \bullet } \, x)$

Later we shall often consider predicates having an  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ -term as first argument and an  $\boldsymbol{FSType}$  as second argument. Therefore, this slightly unusual definition for equivariance.

# **Some /Any-Property**

Assuming eqvt $(P)$  then  $(\exists x) a \# x \wedge (\forall t) P([a].t) x$ if and only if  $(\forall x) a \# x \Rightarrow (\forall t) P([a].t) x$ 

# **Some /Any-Property**

Assuming eqvt $(P)$  then  $(\exists x) a \# x \wedge (\forall t) P([a].t) x$ if and only if  $(\forall x) a \# x \Rightarrow (\forall t) P([a].t) x$ 

Proof: Same as on Tuesday.

## **Induction**

$$
(\forall a) P (\text{am}(a)) x
$$
  

$$
(\forall t_1, t_2) P t_1 x \land P t_2 x \Rightarrow P (\text{pr}(t_1, t_2)) x
$$
  

$$
(\exists a) a \# x \land (\forall t) P t x \Rightarrow P ([a].t) x
$$
  

$$
(\forall t) P t x
$$

Proof: By induction on  $n$  (the "stage" when constructing  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ ).

### **Induction**

eqvt $(P)$  $(\forall a) P(\text{am}(a)) x$  $(\forall t_1, t_2)$   $P t_1 x \wedge P t_2 x \Rightarrow P (pr(t_1, t_2)) x$  $(\forall a) a \# x \Rightarrow (\forall t) P t x \Rightarrow P([a].t) x$  $(\forall t)$  Ptx

Proof: By induction on  $n$  (the "stage" when constructing  $\Lambda_{\alpha}$ ).

# **What Has Been Achieved**

we gave an inductive definition of <sup>a</sup> set  $(\Lambda_{\alpha})$  that is bijective with the  $\alpha$ -equated lambda-terms

- $\Lambda_{\alpha}$  has very much the feel of (named) lambda-terms (equated up to  $\alpha$ -equivalence)
- $\blacksquare$  if we can prove equivariance for the IH, then we only need to prove the abstraction case for one fresh atom
- **T** and we can put the money where our mouth is. . . ;o)