For the calculation below, I prefer to use the more "mathematical" notation for regular expressions. Therefore let us first look at this notation and the corresponding Scala code.

My own convention is that rs stands for a list of regular expressions. Also of note is that these are **all** regular expressions in Main 3 and the template fle defnes them as the (algebraic) datatype Rexp. A confusion might arise from the fact that there is also some shorthand notation for some regular expressions, namely

def ALT(r1: Rexp, r2: Rexp) = ALTs(List(r1, r2)) **def** SEQ(r1: Rexp, r2: Rexp) = SEQs(List(r1, r2))

Since these are functions, everything of the form $ALT(r1, r2)$ will immediately be translated into the regular expression ALTs(List(r1, r2)) (similarly for SEQ). Maybe even more confusing is that Scala allows one to defne *exten‑ sions* that provide an even shorter notation for ALT and SEQ, namely

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\nr1 \sim r2 & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & \text{SEQ}(r1, r2) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & \text{SEQs(List}(r1, r2)) \\
r1 \mid r2 & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & \text{ALT}(r1, r2) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & \text{ALTs(List}(r1, r2))\n\end{array}
$$

The right hand sides are the fully expanded defnitions. The reason for this even shorter notation is that in the mathematical notation one often writes

$$
r_1 \cdot r_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi [r_1, r_2]
$$

$$
r_1 + r_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Sigma [r_1, r_2]
$$

The first one is for binary *sequence* regular expressions and the second for binary *alternative* regular expressions. The regex in question in the shorthand notation is $(a + 1) \cdot a$, which is the same as

$$
\prod [\Sigma [a,1],a]
$$

or in Scala code

$$
(\text{CHAR('a') } | \text{ONE}) \sim \text{CHAR('a')}
$$

Using the mathematical notation, the defnition of *der* is given by the rules:

(1) *der* $c(0)$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$ (2) *der c* (1) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$
(3) *der c* (*d*) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{array}{cc} 0 \end{array}$ (3) *der* c (d) $if c = d then 1 else 0$ (4) *der* $c \left(\sum [r_1, ..., r_n] \right)$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ \sum [*der c* r_1 , .., *der c* r_n] (5) *der* c (\prod \prod) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ 0 (6) *der* $c(\prod r::rs)$ def $if nullable(r)$ *then* $(\prod (der c r) :: rs) + (der c (\prod rs))$ *else* $(\prod (der c r) :: rs)$ (7) *der c* (r^*) $\qquad \qquad \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ (*der c r*) · (r^*)

Let's finally do the calculation for the derivative of the regular expression with respect to the letter *a* (in red is in each line which regular expression is analysed):

 $der(a, (a + 1) \cdot a)$ by (6) and since $a + 1$ is nullable $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{(der(a, a+1) \cdot a) + der(a, \prod [a])}{(der(a, a) + der(a, 1)) \cdot a) + div(a, \prod [a])}$ by (4) $\frac{det}{def} \left(\left(\frac{der(a, a) + der(a, 1)}{e^{2}} \right) \cdot a + \frac{der(a, \prod(a])}{e^{2}} \right)$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((1 + \text{der}(a, 1)) \cdot a) + \text{der}(a, \prod[a]) \quad \text{by (2)}$ $\frac{d\theta}{dx}$ $((1+0)\cdot a) + der(a, \prod [a])$ by (6) and *a* not being nullable def $((1+0)\cdot a) + \prod [den(a, a)]$ $\frac{det}{=}$ ((1+0) · *a*) + \prod [der(*a*, *a*)] by (3)
 $\frac{def}{=}$ ((1+0) · *a*) + \prod [1] $((1 + 0) \cdot a) + \prod_{i} [1]$

Translating this result back into Scala code gives you

ALT($(ONE \mid ZERO) \sim CHAR('a')$, $SEQs(List(ONE)))$