

# The Isabelle Programmer's Cookbook (fragment)

with contributions by:

Alexander Krauss Jeremy Dawson Stefan Berghofer

October 9, 2008

### **Contents**

1	Introduction			
	1.1	Intended Audience and Prior Knowledge	3	
	1.2	Existing Documentation	3	
2	First Steps			
	2.1	Including ML-Code	5	
	2.2	Debugging and Printing	6	
	2.3	Antiquotations	7	
	2.4	Terms and Types	7	
	2.5	Constructing Terms and Types Manually	9	
	2.6	Type Checking	10	
	2.7	Theorems	10	
	2.8	Tactical Reasoning	11	
	2.9	Storing Theorems	13	
	2.10	Theorem Attributes	13	
3	Parsing 14			
	3.1	Parsing Isar input	14	
	3.2	The Scan structure	15	
	3.3	The OuterLex structure	18	
	3.4	The OuterParse structure	18	
	3.5	The SpecParse structure	20	
	3.6	The Args structure	21	
	3.7	Attributes, and the Attrib structure	23	
	3.8	Methods, and the Method structure	25	
A	Reci	pes	27	
	A 1	Accumulate a List of Theorems under a Name	27	

	A.2 Ad-hoc Transformations of Theorems	28
В	Solutions to Most Exercises	29

### Chapter 1

### Introduction

The purpose of this cookbook is to guide the reader through the first steps of Isabelle programming, and to provide recipes for solving common problems.

### 1.1 Intended Audience and Prior Knowledge

This cookbook targets an audience who already knows how to use Isabelle for writing theories and proofs. We also assume that readers are familiar with the Standard ML, the programming language in which most of Isabelle is implemented. If you are unfamiliar with either of these two subjects, you should first work through the Isabelle/HOL tutorial [1] and Paulson's book on Standard ML [2].

### 1.2 Existing Documentation

The following documentation about Isabelle programming already exist (they are included in the distribution of Isabelle):

**The Implementation Manual** describes Isabelle from a programmer's perspective, documenting both the underlying concepts and some of the interfaces.

The Isabelle Reference Manual is an older document that used to be the main reference at a time when all proof scripts were written on the ML level. Many parts of this manual are outdated now, but some parts, particularly the chapters on tactics, are still useful.

Then of course there is:

**The code** is of course the ultimate reference for how things really work. Therefore you should not hesitate to look at the way things are actually implemented. More importantly, it is often good to look at code that does similar things as you want to do, to learn from other people's code.

Since Isabelle is not a finished product, these manuals, just like the implementation itself, are always under construction. This can be difficult and frustrating at times, especially when interfaces changes occur frequently. But it is a reality that progress means changing things (FIXME: need some short and convincing comment that this is a strategy, not a problem that should be solved).

### Chapter 2

### First Steps

Isabelle programming is done in Standard ML. Just like lemmas and proofs, code in Isabelle is part of a theory. If you want to follow the code written in this chapter, we assume you are working inside the theory defined by

theory CookBook imports Main begin

**Including ML-Code** 

The easiest and quickest way to include code in a theory is by using the **ML** command. For example

```
ML {* 3 + 4 *}
```

2.1

Expressions inside **ML** commands are immediately evaluated, like "normal" Isabelle proof scripts, by using the advance and undo buttons of your Isabelle environment. The code inside the **ML** command can also contain value and function bindings. However on such **ML** commands the undo operation behaves slightly counter-intuitive, because if you define

```
ML {*
  val foo = true
*}
```

then Isabelle's undo operation has no effect on the definition of foo.

Once a portion of code is relatively stable, one usually wants to export it to a separate ML-file. Such files can then be included in a theory by using **uses** in the header of the theory, like

```
theory CookBook
imports Main
uses "file_to_be_included.ML" ...
begin
...
```

### 2.2 Debugging and Printing

During developments you might find it necessary to quickly inspect some data in your code. This can be done in a "quick-and-dirty" fashion using the function warning. For example

```
ML {* warning "any string" *}
```

will print out "any string" inside the response buffer of Isabelle. If you develop under PolyML, then there is a convenient, though again "quick-and-dirty", method for converting values into strings, for example:

```
ML {* warning (makestring 1) *}
```

However this only works if the type of what is converted is monomorphic and not a function.

The funtion warning should only be used for testing purposes, because any output this funtion generates will be overwritten, as soon as an error is raised. Therefore for printing anything more serious and elaborate, the function tracing should be used. This function writes all output into a separate buffer.

```
ML {* tracing "foo" *}
```

It is also possible to redirect the channel where the foo is printed to a separate file, e.g. to prevent Proof General from choking on massive amounts of trace output. This redirection can be achieved using the code

```
ML {*
  val strip_specials =
  let
    fun strip ("\^A" :: _ :: cs) = strip cs
        | strip (c :: cs) = c :: strip cs
        | strip [] = [];
  in implode o strip o explode end;

fun redirect_tracing stream =
  Output.tracing_fn := (fn s =>
        (TextIO.output (stream, (strip_specials s));
        TextIO.output (stream, "\n");
        TextIO.flushOut stream));
```

\*}

Calling redirect\_tracing with (TextIO.openOut "foo.bar") will cause that all tracing information is printed into the file foo.bar.

#### 2.3 Antiquotations

The main advantage of embedding all code in a theory is that the code can contain references to entities defined on the logical level of Isabelle. This is done using antiquotations. For example, one can print out the name of the current theory by typing

```
ML {* Context.theory_name @{theory} *}
```

where <code>@{theory}</code> is an antiquotation that is substituted with the current theory (remember that we assumed we are inside the theory CookBook). The name of this theory can be extracted using the function <code>Context.theory\_name</code>. So the code above returns the string <code>"CookBook"</code>.

Note, however, that antiquotations are statically scoped, that is the value is determined at "compile-time", not "run-time". For example the function

```
ML {*
   fun not_current_thyname () = Context.theory_name @{theory}
*}
```

does *not* return the name of the current theory, if it is run in a different theory. Instead, the code above defines the constant function that always returns the string "CookBook", no matter where the function is called. Operationally speaking, <code>@{theory}</code> is *not* replaced with code that will look up the current theory in some data structure and return it. Instead, it is literally replaced with the value representing the theory name.

In a similar way you can use antiquotations to refer to theorems or simpsets:

```
ML {* @{thm allI} *}
ML {* @{simpset} *}
```

In the course of this introduction, we will learn more about these antiquotations: they greatly simplify Isabelle programming since one can directly access all kinds of logical elements from ML.

### 2.4 Terms and Types

One way to construct terms of Isabelle on the ML-level is by using the antiquotation <code>@{term ...}</code>:

```
ML \ \{* \ \emptyset\{term \ "(a::nat) + b = c"\} \ *\}
```

This will show the term a + b = c, but printed out using the internal representation of this term. This internal representation corresponds to the datatype term.

The internal representation of terms uses the usual de-Bruijn index mechanism where bound variables are represented by the constructor Bound. The index in Bound refers to the number of Abstractions (Abs) we have to skip until we hit the Abs that binds the corresponding variable. However, in Isabelle the names of bound variables are kept at abstractions for printing purposes, and so should be treated only as comments.

Terms are described in detail in [Impl. Man., Sec. 2.2]. Their definition and many useful operations can be found in Pure/term. ML.

Read More

Sometimes the internal representation of terms can be surprisingly different from what you see at the user level, because the layers of parsing/type checking/pretty printing can be quite elaborate.

**Exercise 2.4.1.** Look at the internal term representation of the following terms, and find out why they are represented like this.

```
• case x of 0 \Rightarrow 0 | Suc y \Rightarrow y
```

- $\lambda(x, y)$ . P y x
- $\{[x] | x. x \le -2\}$

Hint: The third term is already quite big, and the pretty printer may omit parts of it by default. If you want to see all of it, you can use the following ML funtion to set the limit to a value high enough:

```
ML {* print_depth 50 *}
```

The antiquotation <code>@{prop ...}</code> constructs terms of propositional type, inserting the invisible <code>Trueprop</code> coercions whenever necessary. Consider for example

```
ML {* @{term "P x"} ; @{prop "P x"} *}
```

which inserts the coercion in the latter case and

ML {\* 
$$@\{term "P x \implies Q x"\} ; @\{prop "P x \implies Q x"\} *\}$$

which does not.

(FIXME: Unlike the term antiquotation,  $@\{typ ...\}$  does not print the internal representation. Is there a reason for this, that needs to be explained here?)

Types are described in detail in [Impl. Man., Sec. 2.1]. Their definition and many useful operations can be found in Pure/type. ML.

**Read More** 

### 2.5 Constructing Terms and Types Manually

While antiquotations are very convenient for constructing terms and types, they can only construct fixed terms. Unfortunately, one often needs to construct them dynamically. For example, a function that returns the implication  $\bigwedge (x::\tau)$ .  $P x \implies Q x$  taking P, Q and the typ  $\tau$  as arguments can only be written as

The reason is that one cannot pass the arguments P, Q and tau into an antiquotation. For example the following does not work.

```
ML {* fun make_wrong_imp P Q tau = 0{prop " \land x. P x \implies Q x"}}*}
```

To see this apply <code>@{term S}</code>, <code>@{term T}</code> and <code>@{typ nat}</code> to both functions. One tricky point in constructing terms by hand is to obtain the fully qualified name for constants. For example the names for <code>zero</code> or <code>+</code> are more complex than one first expects, namely

```
HOL.zero_class.zero and HOL.plus_class.plus.
```

The extra prefixes zero\_class and plus\_class are present because these constants are defined within type classes; the prefix HOL indicates in which theory they are defined. Guessing such internal names can sometimes be quite hard. Therefore Isabellle provides the antiquotation <code>@{const\_name}...}</code> which does the expansion automatically, for example:

```
(FIXME: Is it useful to explain @{const_syntax}?)
```

(FIXME: how to construct types manually)

There are many functions in Pure/logic.ML and HOL/hologic.ML that make such manual constructions of terms easier.

**Read More** 

Have a look at these files and try to solve the following two exercises:

**Exercise 2.5.1.** Write a function  $rev_sum$ :  $term \rightarrow term$  that takes a term of the form  $t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_n$  (whereby i might be zero) and returns the reversed sum  $t_n + \ldots + t_2 + t_1$ . Assume the  $t_i$  can be arbitrary expressions and also note that  $t_i$  associates to the left. Try your function on some examples.

**Exercise 2.5.2.** Write a function which takes two terms representing natural numbers in unary (like Suc (Suc O))), and produce the unary number representing their sum.

### 2.6 Type Checking

We can freely construct and manipulate terms, since they are just arbitrary unchecked trees. However, we eventually want to see if a term is well-formed, or type checks, relative to a theory. Type checking is done via the function cterm\_of, which turns a term into a cterm, a certified term. Unlike terms, which are just trees, cterms are abstract objects that are guaranteed to be type-correct, and that can only be constructed via the official interfaces.

Type checking is always relative to a theory context. For now we can use the <code>@{theory}</code> antiquotation to get hold of the current theory. For example we can write:

**Exercise 2.6.1.** Check that the function defined in Exercise 2.5.1 returns a result that type checks.

#### 2.7 Theorems

Just like cterms, theorems (of type thm) are abstract objects that can only be built by going through the kernel interfaces, which means that all your proofs will be checked.

To see theorems in "action", let us give a proof for the following statement

```
lemma
   assumes assm_1: " \land (x::nat). P x \implies Q x"
            assm_2: "P t"
   and
   shows "0 t"
on the ML level:1
ML {*
1et
  val thy = @{theory}
  val assm1 = cterm_of thy Q\{prop \ "\ (x::nat).\ P\ x \implies Q\ x"\}
  val assm2 = cterm_of thy Q{prop "((P::nat \Rightarrow bool) t)"}
  val Pt_implies_Qt =
        assume assm1
         /> forall_elim (cterm_of thy @{term "t::nat"});
  val Qt = implies_elim Pt_implies_Qt (assume assm2);
in
  Qt
  /> implies_intr assm2
  /> implies_intr assm1
end
*}
```

This code-snippet constructs the following proof:

For how the functions assume, forall\_elimetc work see [Impl. Man., Sec. 2.3]. Read More The basic functions for theorems are defined in Pure/thm. ML.

### 2.8 Tactical Reasoning

The goal-oriented tactical style reasoning of the ML level is similar to the apply-style at the user level, i.e. the reasoning is centred around a goal, which is modified in a sequence of proof steps until it is solved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that /> is reverse application. This combinator, and several variants are defined in *Pure/General/basics.ML*.

A goal (or goal state) is a special thm, which by convention is an implication of the form:

```
A_1 \implies \ldots \implies A_n \implies \#(C)
```

where C is the goal to be proved and the  $A_i$  are the open subgoals. Since the goal C can potentially be an implication, there is a # wrapped around it, which prevents that premises are misinterpreted as open subgoals. The protection # ::  $prop \Rightarrow prop$  is just the identity function and used as a syntactic marker.

(FIXME: maybe show how this is printed on the screen)

For more on goals see [Impl. Man., Sec. 3.1].

**Read More** 

Tactics are functions that map a goal state to a (lazy) sequence of successor states, hence the type of a tactic is

```
thm -> thm Seq.seq
```

See Pure/General/seq.ML for the implementation of lazy sequences. However in day-to-day Isabelle programming, one rarely constructs sequences explicitly, but uses the predefined tactic combinators (tacticals) instead (see Pure/tctical.ML). (FIXME: Pointer to the old reference manual)

While tatics can operate on the subgoals (the  $A_i$  above), they are expected to leave the conclusion C intact, with the exception of possibly instantiating schematic variables.

To see how tactics work, let us transcribe a simple apply-style proof from the tutorial [1] into ML:

```
lemma disj\_swap: "P \lor Q \implies Q \lor P" apply (erule disjE) apply (rule disjI2) apply assumption apply (rule disjI1) apply assumption done
```

To start the proof, the function Goal.prove ctxt xs As C tac sets up a goal state for proving the goal C under the assumptions As (empty in the proof at hand) with the variables xs that will be generalised once the goal is proved. The tac is the tactic which proves the goal and which can make use of the local assumptions.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{ML} \ \{* \\ \text{let} \\ \text{val ctxt} = @\{\text{context}\} \\ \text{val goal} = @\{\text{prop "P } \lor \ Q \implies Q \ \lor \ P"\} \\ \text{in} \end{array}
```

```
Goal.prove ctxt ["P", "Q"] [] goal (fn _ =>
    eresolve_tac [disjE] 1
   THEN resolve_tac [disjI2] 1
   THEN assume_tac 1
   THEN resolve_tac [disjI1] 1
   THEN assume_tac 1)
end
*}
```

To learn more about the function Goal.prove see [Impl. Man., Sec. 4.3].

**Read More** 

An alternative way to transcribe this proof is as follows

(FIXME: are there any advantages/disadvantages about this way?)

### 2.9 Storing Theorems

#### 2.10 Theorem Attributes

### Chapter 3

### **Parsing**

Lots of Standard ML code is given in this document, for various reasons, including:

- direct quotation of code found in the Isabelle source files, or simplified versions of such code
- identifiers found in the Isabelle source code, with their types (or specialisations of their types)
- code examples, which can be run by the reader, to help illustrate the behaviour of functions found in the Isabelle source code
- ancillary functions, not from the Isabelle source code, which enable the reader to run relevant code examples
- type abbreviations, which help explain the uses of certain functions

### 3.1 Parsing Isar input

The typical parsing function has the type 'src -> 'res \* 'src, with input of type 'src, returning a result of type 'res, which is (or is derived from) the first part of the input, and also returning the remainder of the input. (In the common case, when it is clear what the "remainder of the input" means, we will just say that the functions "returns" the value of type 'res). An exception is raised if an appropriate value cannot be produced from the input. A range of exceptions can be used to identify different reasons for the failure of a parse.

This contrasts the standard parsing function in Standard ML, which is of type type ('res, 'src) reader = 'src -> ('res \* 'src) option; (for example, List.getItem and Substring.getc). However, much of the dis-

cussion at FIX file:/home/jeremy/html/ml/SMLBasis/string-cvt.html is relevant.

Naturally one may convert between the two different sorts of parsing functions as follows:

```
open StringCvt ;
type ('res, 'src) ex_reader = 'src -> 'res * 'src
(* ex_reader : ('res, 'src) reader -> ('res, 'src) ex_reader *)
fun ex_reader rdr src = Option.valOf (rdr src) ;
(* reader : ('res, 'src) ex_reader -> ('res, 'src) reader *)
fun reader exrdr src = SOME (exrdr src) handle _ => NONE ;
```

#### 3.2 The Scan structure

The source file is src/General/scan.ML. This structure provides functions for using and combining parsing functions of the type 'src -> 'res \* 'src. Three exceptions are used:

```
exception MORE of string option; (*need more input (prompt)*)
exception FAIL of string option; (*try alternatives (reason of failure)*)
exception ABORT of string; (*dead end*)
```

Many functions in this structure (generally those with names composed of symbols) are declared as infix.

Some functions from that structure are

```
|-- : ('src -> 'res1 * 'src') * ('src' -> 'res2 * 'src'') ->
'src -> 'res2 * 'src''
--| : ('src -> 'res1 * 'src') * ('src' -> 'res2 * 'src'') ->
'src -> 'res1 * 'src''
-- : ('src -> 'res1 * 'src') * ('src' -> 'res2 * 'src'') ->
'src -> ('res1 * 'res2) * 'src''
^^ : ('src -> string * 'src') * ('src' -> string * 'src'') ->
'src -> string * 'src''
```

These functions parse a result off the input source twice.

 $\mid$  -- and --  $\mid$  return the first result and the second result, respectively.

-- returns both.

<sup>^^</sup> returns the result of concatenating the two results (which must be strings).

Note how, although the types 'src, 'src' and 'src'' will normally be the same, the types as shown help suggest the behaviour of the functions.

```
:-- : ('src -> 'res1 * 'src') * ('res1 -> 'src' -> 'res2 * 'src'') ->
'src -> ('res1 * 'res2) * 'src''
:|-- : ('src -> 'res1 * 'src') * ('res1 -> 'src' -> 'res2 * 'src'') ->
'src -> 'res2 * 'src''
```

These are similar to |-- and --|, except that the second parsing function can depend on the result of the first.

```
>> : ('src -> 'res1 * 'src') * ('res1 -> 'res2) -> 'src -> 'res2 * 'src'
|| : ('src -> 'res_src) * ('src -> 'res_src) -> 'src -> 'res_src
```

p >> f applies a function f to the result of a parse.

| | tries a second parsing function if the first one fails by raising an exception of the form FAIL \_.

```
succeed : 'res -> ('src -> 'res * 'src) ;
fail : ('src -> 'res_src) ;
!! : ('src * string option -> string) ->
('src -> 'res_src) -> ('src -> 'res_src) ;
```

succeed r returns r, with the input unchanged. fail always fails, raising exception FAIL NONE. !! f only affects the failure mode, turning a failure that raises FAIL \_ into a failure that raises ABORT .... This is used to prevent recovery from the failure — thus, in !! parse1 || parse2, if parse1 fails, it won't recover by trying parse2.

```
one : ('si -> bool) -> ('si list -> 'si * 'si list);
some : ('si -> 'res option) -> ('si list -> 'res * 'si list);
```

These require the input to be a list of items: they fail, raising MORE NONE if the list is empty. On other failures they raise FAIL NONE one p takes the first item from the list if it satisfies p, otherwise fails.

some f takes the first item from the list and applies f to it, failing if this returns NONE.

```
many : ('si -> bool) -> 'si list -> 'si list * 'si list ;
```

many p takes items from the input until it encounters one which does not satisfy p. If it reaches the end of the input it fails, raising MORE NONE.

many1 (with the same type) fails if the first item does not satisfy p.

```
option : ('src -> 'res * 'src) -> ('src -> 'res option * 'src)
optional : ('src -> 'res * 'src) -> 'res -> ('src -> 'res * 'src)
```

option: where the parser f succeeds with result r or raises FAIL  $\_$ , option f gives the result SOME r or NONE.

optional: if parser f fails by raising FAIL \_, optional f default provides the result default.

```
repeat : ('src -> 'res * 'src) -> 'src -> 'res list * 'src
repeat1 : ('src -> 'res * 'src) -> 'src -> 'res list * 'src
bulk : ('src -> 'res * 'src) -> 'src -> 'res list * 'src
```

repeat f repeatedly parses an item off the remaining input until f fails with FAIL  $_{\perp}$ 

repeat1 is as for repeat, but requires at least one successful parse.

```
lift : ('src -> 'res * 'src) -> ('ex * 'src -> 'res * ('ex * 'src))
```

lift changes the source type of a parser by putting in an extra component 'ex, which is ignored in the parsing.

The Scan structure also provides the type lexicon, HOW DO THEY WORK ?? TO BE COMPLETED

```
dest_lexicon: lexicon -> string list;
make_lexicon: string list list -> lexicon;
empty_lexicon: lexicon;
extend_lexicon: string list list -> lexicon -> lexicon;
merge_lexicons: lexicon -> lexicon -> lexicon;
is_literal: lexicon -> string list -> bool;
literal: lexicon -> string list -> string list * string list;
```

Two lexicons, for the commands and keywords, are stored and can be retrieved by:

```
val (command_lexicon, keyword_lexicon) = OuterSyntax.get_lexicons ();
val commands = Scan.dest_lexicon command_lexicon;
val keywords = Scan.dest_lexicon keyword_lexicon;
```

#### 3.3 The OuterLex structure

The source file is <code>src/Pure/Isar/outer\_lex.ML</code>. In some other source files its name is abbreviated:

```
structure T = OuterLex;
```

This structure defines the type token. (The types OuterLex.token, OuterParse.token and SpecParse.token are all the same).

Input text is split up into tokens, and the input source type for many parsing functions is token list.

The datatype definition (which is not published in the signature) is

```
datatype token = Token of Position.T * (token_kind * string);
```

but here are some runnable examples for viewing tokens:

#### **FIXME**

```
begin{verbatim} type token = T.token ; val toks : token list = OuterSyntax.scan
''theory,imports; begin x.y.z apply ?v1 ?'a 'a -- || 44 simp (* xx *) {
* fff * }'' ; print_depth 20 ; List.map T.text_of toks ; val proper_toks
= List.filter T.is_proper toks ; List.map T.kind_of proper_toks ; List.map
T.unparse proper_toks ; List.map T.val_of proper_toks ; end{verbatim}
```

The function is\_proper : token -> bool identifies tokens which are not white space or comments: many parsing functions assume require spaces or comments to have been filtered out.

There is a special end-of-file token:

```
val (tok_eof : token, is_eof : token -> bool) = T.stopper ;
(* end of file token *)
```

#### 3.4 The OuterParse structure

The source file is src/Pure/Isar/outer\_parse.ML. In some other source files its name is abbreviated:

```
structure P = OuterParse;
```

Here the parsers use token list as the input source type.

Some of the parsers simply select the first token, provided that it is of the right kind (as returned by T.kind\_of): these are command, keyword, short\_ident, long\_ident, sym\_ident, term\_var, type\_ident, type\_var, number, string, alt\_string, verbatim, sync, eof Others select the first token, provided that it is one of several kinds, (eg, name, xname, text, typ).

```
type 'a tlp = token list -> 'a * token list; (* token list parser *)
$$$ : string -> string tlp
nat : int tlp;
maybe : 'a tlp -> 'a option tlp;
```

\$\$\$ s returns the first token, if it equals s and s is a keyword.
nat returns the first token, if it is a number, and evaluates it.
maybe: if p returns r, then maybe p returns SOME r; if the first token is an underscore, it returns NONE.

A few examples:

```
P.list : 'a tlp -> 'a list tlp ; (* likewise P.list1 *)
P.and_list : 'a tlp -> 'a list tlp ; (* likewise P.and_list1 *)
val toks : token list = OuterSyntax.scan "44 ,_, 66,77";
val proper_toks = List.filter T.is_proper toks ;
P.list P.nat toks ; (* OK, doesn't recognize white space *)
P.list P.nat proper_toks ; (* fails, doesn't recognize what follows ',' *)
P.list (P.maybe P.nat) proper_toks ; (* fails, end of input *)
P.list (P.maybe P.nat) (proper_toks @ [tok_eof]) ; (* OK *)
val toks : token list = OuterSyntax.scan "44 and 55 and 66 and 77";
P.and_list P.nat (List.filter T.is_proper toks @ [tok_eof]) ; (* ??? *)
```

The following code helps run examples:

```
fun parse_str tlp str =
let val toks : token list = OuterSyntax.scan str ;
val proper_toks = List.filter T.is_proper toks @ [tok_eof] ;
val (res, rem_toks) = tlp proper_toks ;
val rem_str = String.concat
(Library.separate " " (List.map T.unparse rem_toks)) ;
in (res, rem_str) end ;
```

Some examples from src/Pure/Isar/outer\_parse.ML

```
val type_args =
type_ident >> Library.single ||
$$$ "(" |-- !!! (list1 type_ident --| $$$ ")") ||
Scan.succeed [];
```

There are three ways parsing a list of type arguments can succeed. The first line reads a single type argument, and turns it into a singleton list. The second line reads "(", and then the remainder, ignoring the "("; the remainder consists of a list of type identifiers (at least one), and then a ")" which is also ignored. The !!! ensures that if the parsing proceeds this far and then fails, it won't try the third line (see the description of Scan.!!). The third line consumes no input and returns the empty list.

```
fun triple2 (x, (y, z)) = (x, y, z);
val arity = xname -- ($$$ "::" |-- !!! (
Scan.optional ($$$ "(" |-- !!! (list1 sort --| $$$ ")")) []
-- sort)) >> triple2;
```

The parser arity reads a typename t, then "::" (which is ignored), then optionally a list ss of sorts and then another sort s. The result (t,(ss,s)) is transformed by triple2 to (t,ss,s). The second line reads the optional list of sorts: it reads first "(" and last ")", which are both ignored, and between them a comma-separated list of sorts. If this list is absent, the default [] provides the list of sorts.

```
parse_str P.type_args "('a, 'b) ntyp";
parse_str P.type_args "'a ntyp";
parse_str P.type_args "ntyp";
parse_str P.arity "ty :: tycl";
parse_str P.arity "ty :: (tycl1, tycl2) tycl";
```

### 3.5 The SpecParse structure

The source file is src/Pure/Isar/spec\_parse.ML. This structure contains token list parsers for more complicated values. For example,

```
open SpecParse ;
attrib : Attrib.src tok_rdr ;
attribs : Attrib.src list tok_rdr ;
```

```
opt_attribs : Attrib.src list tok_rdr ;
xthm : (thmref * Attrib.src list) tok_rdr ;
xthms1 : (thmref * Attrib.src list) list tok_rdr ;

parse_str attrib "simp" ;
parse_str opt_attribs "hello" ;
val (ass, "") = parse_str attribs "[standard, xxxx, simp, intro, OF sym]" ;
map Args.dest_src ass ;
val (asrc, "") = parse_str attrib "THEN trans [THEN sym]" ;

parse_str xthm "mythm [attr]" ;
parse_str xthms1 "thm1 [attr] thms2" ;
```

As you can see, attributes are described using types of the Args structure, described below.

#### 3.6 The Args structure

The source file is src/Pure/Isar/args.ML. The primary type of this structure is the src datatype; the single constructors not published in the signature, but Args.src and Args.dest\_src are in fact the constructor and destructor functions. Note that the types Attrib.src and Method.src are in fact Args.src.

```
src : (string * Args.T list) * Position.T -> Args.src ;
dest_src : Args.src -> (string * Args.T list) * Position.T ;
Args.pretty_src : Proof.context -> Args.src -> Pretty.T ;
fun pr_src ctxt src = Pretty.string_of (Args.pretty_src ctxt src) ;
val thy = ML_Context.the_context () ;
val ctxt = ProofContext.init thy ;
map (pr_src ctxt) ass ;
```

So an Args.src consists of the first word, then a list of further "arguments", of type Args.T, with information about position in the input.

```
(* how an Args.src is parsed *)
P.position : 'a tlp -> ('a * Position.T) tlp ;
P.arguments : Args.T list tlp ;
val parse_src : Args.src tlp =
```

```
P.position (P.xname -- P.arguments) >> Args.src;
val ((first_word, args), pos) = Args.dest_src asrc;
map Args.string_of args;
```

The Args structure contains more parsers and parser transformers for which the input source type is Args.T list. For example,

```
type 'a atlp = Args.T list -> 'a * Args.T list ;
open Args ;
nat : int atlp ; (* also Args.int *)
thm_sel : PureThy.interval list atlp ;
list : 'a atlp -> 'a list atlp ;
attribs : (string -> string) -> Args.src list atlp ;
opt_attribs : (string -> string) -> Args.src list atlp ;
(* parse_atl_str : 'a atlp -> (string -> 'a * string) ;
given an Args.T list parser, to get a string parser *)
fun parse_atl_str atlp str =
let val (ats, rem_str) = parse_str P.arguments str ;
val (res, rem_ats) = atlp ats ;
in (res, String.concat (Library.separate " "
(List.map Args.string_of rem_ats @ [rem_str]))) end;
parse_atl_str Args.int "-1-," ;
parse_atl_str (Scan.option Args.int) "x1-," ;
parse_atl_str Args.thm_sel "(1-,4,13-22)";
val (ats as atsrc :: _, "") = parse_atl_str (Args.attribs I)
"[THEN trans [THEN sym], simp, OF sym]";
```

From here, an attribute is interpreted using Attrib. attribute.

Args has a large number of functions which parse an Args.src and also refer to a generic context. Note the use of Scan.lift for this. (as does Attrib - RETHINK THIS)

(Args.syntax shown below has type specialised)

```
type ('res, 'src) parse_fn = 'src -> 'res * 'src ;
type 'a cgatlp = ('a, Context.generic * Args.T list) parse_fn ;
Scan.lift : 'a atlp -> 'a cgatlp ;
term : term cgatlp ;
```

```
typ : typ cgatlp ;
Args.syntax : string -> 'res cgatlp -> src -> ('res, Context.generic) parse_fn ;
Attrib.thm : thm cgatlp ;
Attrib.thms : thm list cgatlp ;
Attrib.multi_thm : thm list cgatlp ;
(* parse_cgatl_str : 'a cgatlp -> (string -> 'a * string) ;
given a (Context.generic * Args.T list) parser, to get a string parser *)
fun parse_cgatl_str cgatlp str =
let
  (* use the current generic context *)
  val generic = Context.Theory thy ;
  val (ats, rem_str) = parse_str P.arguments str ;
  (* ignore any change to the generic context *)
  val (res, (_, rem_ats)) = cgatlp (generic, ats) ;
in (res, String.concat (Library.separate " "
    (List.map Args.string_of rem_ats @ [rem_str]))) end;
```

#### 3.7 Attributes, and the Attrib structure

The type attribute is declared in src/Pure/thm.ML. The source file for the Attrib structure is src/Pure/Isar/attrib.ML. Most attributes use a theorem to change a generic context (for example, by declaring that the theorem should be used, by default, in simplification), or change a theorem (which most often involves referring to the current theory). The functions Thm.rule\_attribute and Thm.declaration\_attribute create attributes of these kinds.

```
type attribute = Context.generic * thm -> Context.generic * thm;
type 'a trf = 'a -> 'a ; (* transformer of a given type *)
Thm.rule_attribute : (Context.generic -> thm -> thm) -> attribute ;
Thm.declaration_attribute : (thm -> Context.generic trf) -> attribute ;
Attrib.print_attributes : theory -> unit ;
Attrib.pretty_attribs : Proof.context -> src list -> Pretty.T list ;
List.app Pretty.writeln (Attrib.pretty_attribs ctxt ass) ;
```

An attribute is stored in a theory as indicated by:

```
Attrib.add_attributes :
(bstring * (src -> attribute) * string) list -> theory trf ;
(*
Attrib.add_attributes [("THEN", THEN_att, "resolution with rule")] ;
*)
```

where the first and third arguments are name and description of the attribute, and the second is a function which parses the attribute input text (including the attribute name, which has necessarily already been parsed). Here, THEN\_att is a function declared in the code for the structure Attrib, but not published in its signature. The source file src/Pure/Isar/attrib.ML shows the use of Attrib.add\_attributes to add a number of attributes.

```
FullAttrib.THEN_att : src -> attribute ;
FullAttrib.THEN_att atsrc (generic, ML_Context.thm "sym") ;
FullAttrib.THEN_att atsrc (generic, ML_Context.thm "all_comm") ;
Attrib.syntax : attribute cgatlp -> src -> attribute ;
Attrib.no_args : attribute -> src -> attribute ;
```

When this is called as syntax scan src (gc, th) the generic context gc is used (and potentially changed to gc') by scan in parsing to obtain an attribute attr which would then be applied to (gc', th). The source for parsing the attribute is the arguments part of src, which must all be consumed by the parse.

For example, for Attrib.no\_args attr src, the attribute parser simply returns attr, requiring that the arguments part of src must be empty.

Some examples from src/Pure/Isar/attrib.ML, modified:

```
fun rot_att_n n (gc, th) = (gc, rotate_prems n th) ;
rot_att_n : int -> attribute ;
val rot_arg = Scan.lift (Scan.optional Args.int 1 : int atlp) : int cgatlp ;
val rotated_att : src -> attribute =
Attrib.syntax (rot_arg >> rot_att_n : attribute cgatlp) ;

val THEN_arg : int cgatlp = Scan.lift
(Scan.optional (Args.bracks Args.nat : int atlp) 1 : int atlp) ;

Attrib.thm : thm cgatlp ;

THEN_arg -- Attrib.thm : (int * thm) cgatlp ;
```

```
fun THEN_att_n (n, tht) (gc, th) = (gc, th RSN (n, tht));
THEN_att_n : int * thm -> attribute ;

val THEN_att : src -> attribute = Attrib.syntax
(THEN_arg -- Attrib.thm >> THEN_att_n : attribute cgatlp);
```

The functions I've called rot\_arg and THEN\_arg read an optional argument, which for rotated is an integer, and for THEN is a natural enclosed in square brackets; the default, if the argument is absent, is 1 in each case. Functions rot\_att\_n and THEN\_att\_n turn these into attributes, where THEN\_att\_n also requires a theorem, which is parsed by Attrib.thm. Infix operators -- and >> are in the structure Scan.

#### 3.8 Methods, and the Method structure

The source file is src/Pure/Isar/method.ML. The type method is defined by the datatype declaration

```
(* datatype method = Meth of thm list -> cases_tactic; *)
RuleCases.NO_CASES : tactic -> cases_tactic ;
```

In fact RAW\_METHOD\_CASES (below) is exactly the constructor Meth. A cases\_tactic is an elaborated version of a tactic. NO\_CASES tac is a cases\_tactic which consists of a cases\_tactic without any further case information. For further details see the description of structure RuleCases below. The list of theorems to be passed to a method consists of the current facts in the proof.

```
RAW_METHOD : (thm list -> tactic) -> method ;
METHOD : (thm list -> tactic) -> method ;

SIMPLE_METHOD : tactic -> method ;
SIMPLE_METHOD' : (int -> tactic) -> method ;
SIMPLE_METHOD'' : ((int -> tactic) -> tactic) -> (int -> tactic) -> method ;

RAW_METHOD_CASES : (thm list -> cases_tactic) -> method ;
METHOD_CASES : (thm list -> cases_tactic) -> method ;
```

A method is, in its simplest form, a tactic; applying the method is to apply the tactic to the current goal state. Applying RAW\_METHOD tacf creates a tactic by applying tacf to the current facts, and applying that tactic to the goal state.

METHOD is similar but also first applies Goal.conjunction\_tac to all subgoals.

SIMPLE\_METHOD, tacf inserts the facts into all subgoals and then applies tacf. SIMPLE\_METHOD, tacf inserts the facts and then applies tacf to subgoal 1. SIMPLE\_METHOD, quant tacf does this for subgoal(s) selected by quant, which may be, for example, ALLGOALS (all subgoals), TRYALL (try all subgoals, failure is OK), FIRSTGOAL (try subgoals until it succeeds once), (fn tacf => tacf 4) (subgoal 4), etc (see the Tactical structure, FIXME) A method is stored in a theory as indicated by:

```
Method.add_method :
  (bstring * (src -> Proof.context -> method) * string) -> theory trf ;
  ( *
  * )
```

where the first and third arguments are name and description of the method, and the second is a function which parses the method input text (including the method name, which has necessarily already been parsed).

Here, xxx is a function declared in the code for the structure Method, but not published in its signature. The source file src/Pure/Isar/method.ML shows the use of Method.add\_method to add a number of methods.

### Appendix A

### Recipes

#### A.1 Accumulate a List of Theorems under a Name

**Problem:** Your tool *foo* works with special rules, called *foo*-rules. Users should be able to declare *foo*-rules in the theory, which are then used by some method.

Solution: This can be achieved using

```
ML {*
    structure FooRules = NamedThmsFun(
      val name = "foo"
    val description = "Rules for foo"
    );
*}
```

setup FooRules.setup

This code declares a context data slot where the theorems are stored, an attribute foo (with the usual add and del options to adding and deleting theorems) and an internal ML interface to retrieve and modify the theorems.

Furthermore, the facts are made available on the user level under the dynamic fact name *foo*. For example:

```
lemma rule1[foo]: "A" sorry
lemma rule2[foo]: "B" sorry
declare rule1[foo del]
```

thm foo

In an ML-context the rules marked with foo an be retrieved using

ML {\* FooRules.get @{context} \*}

For more information see Pure/Tools/named\_thms.ML.

**Read More** 

(FIXME: maybe add a comment about the case when the theorems to be added need to satisfy certain properties)

### A.2 Ad-hoc Transformations of Theorems

### **Appendix B**

### **Solutions to Most Exercises**

## **Bibliography**

- [1] T. Nipkow, L. C. Paulson, and M. Wenzel. *Isabelle/HOL: A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic*. Springer, 2002. LNCS Tutorial 2283.
- [2] L. C. Paulson. *ML for the Working Programmer*. Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 1996.