

# CSCI 742 - Compiler Construction

Lecture 7 Building Efficient Lexers Instructor: Hossein Hojjat

January 31, 2018

### Input: Token Spec

• List of regular expressions (RE) in priority order

### Output: Lexer

• Reads an input stream and breaks it up into tokens according to REs

### Algorithm

- Convert REs into non-deterministic finite automata (NFA)
- Convert NFA to DFA
- Convert DFA into transition table

## Lexer Automatic Construction: Example

- RE for tokens:  $(a|ab)$
- NFA:







• Transition Table:



## Lexer Automatic Construction: Example





$$
\Sigma = \{a, b\}
$$

Example:

Input: aab

•  $s_0 \longrightarrow s_1 \longrightarrow s_3 \longrightarrow s_2$ 

### Theorem

A language  $L$  can be described by regular expression if and only if  $L$  is the language accepted by a finite automaton.

Algorithms:

- Regular expression ⇒ Automaton
	- important for lexer construction
- Automaton  $\Rightarrow$  Regular expression
	- interesting method in formal languages theory

## $RE \Rightarrow$  Finite Automaton

• Build the finite automaton inductively, based on the definition of regular expressions



## $RE \Rightarrow$  Finite Automaton

Alternation  $R_1 \mid R_2$ 



Concatenation  $R_1$ .  $R_2$ 



Final States

## $RE \Rightarrow$  Finite Automaton

### Alternation R∗



## Exercise

### Question

• Construct an NFA for the regular expression  $(ab) * | b *$ 

## Exercise

### Question

• Construct an NFA for the regular expression  $(ab) * | b *$ 

#### Answer



## DFA Minimization

- Generated DFAs may have a large number of states
- DFA Minimization: Converts a DFA to another DFA that:
	- recognizes the same language
	- has a minimum number of states
- Increases time/space efficiency







Both DFAs accept:  $((a | b)b * a)*$ 

- For every regular language  $L$  there exists a unique minimal DFA that recognizes L
	- uniqueness up to renaming of states (isomorphism)
- Minimal DFA can be found mechanically



• Remove unreachable states: there is no path from initial state to  $q_3$ 



• Remove unreachable states: there is no path from initial state to  $q_3$ 



- Remove unreachable states: there is no path from initial state to  $q_3$
- $q_2$ ,  $q_6$  are both accepting sinks with self-loop for any character in  $\Sigma$
- Any string reaches  $q_2$  or  $q_6$  is guaranteed to be accepted later
- $q_2$  and  $q_6$  are **equivalent** states: we can unify them



- Remove unreachable states: there is no path from initial state to  $q_3$
- $q_2$ ,  $q_6$  are both accepting sinks with self-loop for any character in  $\Sigma$
- Any string reaches  $q_2$  or  $q_6$  is guaranteed to be accepted later
- $q_2$  and  $q_6$  are **equivalent** states: we can unify them



- Remove unreachable states: there is no path from initial state to  $q_3$
- $q_2$ ,  $q_6$  are both accepting sinks with self-loop for any character in  $\Sigma$
- Any string reaches  $q_2$  or  $q_6$  is guaranteed to be accepted later
- $q_2$  and  $q_6$  are **equivalent** states: we can unify them
- If DFA is in  $q_1$  or  $q_5$ :
	- if next character is  $a$ , it forever accepts in both states
	- if next character is  $b$ , it forever rejects in both states
- $q_1$  and  $q_5$  are **equivalent** states: we can unify them



- Remove unreachable states: there is no path from initial state to  $q_3$
- $q_2$ ,  $q_6$  are both accepting sinks with self-loop for any character in  $\Sigma$
- Any string reaches  $q_2$  or  $q_6$  is guaranteed to be accepted later
- $q_2$  and  $q_6$  are **equivalent** states: we can unify them
- If DFA is in  $q_1$  or  $q_5$ :
	- if next character is  $a$ , it forever accepts in both states
	- if next character is  $b$ , it forever rejects in both states
- $q_1$  and  $q_5$  are **equivalent** states: we can unify them



### Intuition

- Two states are equivalent if all subsequent behavior from those states is the same
- Equivalent states may be unified without affecting DFA's behavior

## Definition

- We say that states p and q are equivalent if for all  $w$ :  $\hat{\delta}(p, w)$  is an accepting state iff  $\hat{\delta}(q, w)$  is an accepting state
- $\bullet$   $\hat{\delta}$  is the transition function extended for words

## DFA Minimization: Procedure

- Write down all pairs of state as a table
- Every cell in table denotes if corresponding states are equivalent
- Table is initially unmarked
- $\bullet\,$  We mark pair  $(p_i,p_j)$  when we discover  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  are not equivalent



- 1. Start by marking all cells  $\left( q_{i},q_{j}\right)$  where one of them is final and other is non-final.
- 2. Look for unmarked pairs  $(q_i,q_j)$  such that for some  $c \in \Sigma$ , the pair  $(\delta(q_i, c), \delta(q_j, c))$  is marked. Then mark  $(q_i, q_j)$ .
- 3. Repeat step 2 until no such unmarked pairs remain.

### First mark accepting/non-accepting pairs





$$
(q_1, q_3)
$$
 is unmarked,  
\n
$$
q_1 \stackrel{b}{\rightarrow} q_0,
$$
  
\n
$$
q_3 \stackrel{b}{\rightarrow} q_1,
$$
  
\nand 
$$
(q_0, q_1)
$$
 is marked,  
\nso mark 
$$
(q_1, q_3)
$$





$$
(q_1, q_3)
$$
 is unmarked,  
\n
$$
q_1 \stackrel{b}{\rightarrow} q_0,
$$
  
\n
$$
q_3 \stackrel{b}{\rightarrow} q_1,
$$
  
\nand 
$$
(q_0, q_1)
$$
 is marked,  
\nso mark 
$$
(q_1, q_3)
$$





$$
(q_2, q_3)
$$
 is unmarked,  
\n
$$
q_2 \stackrel{b}{\rightarrow} q_0,
$$
  
\n
$$
q_3 \stackrel{b}{\rightarrow} q_1,
$$
  
\nand 
$$
(q_0, q_1)
$$
 is marked,  
\nso mark 
$$
(q_2, q_3)
$$





$$
(q_2, q_3)
$$
 is unmarked,  
\n
$$
q_2 \stackrel{b}{\rightarrow} q_0,
$$
  
\n
$$
q_3 \stackrel{b}{\rightarrow} q_1,
$$
  
\nand 
$$
(q_0, q_1)
$$
 is marked,  
\nso mark 
$$
(q_2, q_3)
$$





There is no way to mark the only unmarked pair  $(q_1, q_2)$ Obtain minimized DFA by collapsing  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  to a single state





## Illustration of minimization algorithm



### Convert the following DFA to a DFA with 3 states

