

CSCI 742 - Compiler Construction

Lecture 35 Data-flow Analysis Framework Instructor: Hossein Hojjat

April 20, 2018

Live variable analysis and available expressions analysis are similar

- Define some information that they need to compute
- Build constraints for the information
- Solve constraints iteratively:
 - Information always "increases" during iteration
 - Eventually, it reaches a fixed point

We would like a general framework

- Framework applicable to many other analyses
- Live variable/available expressions instances of the framework

Data-flow Analysis Framework

Data-flow analysis:

- Common framework for many compiler analyses
- Computes some information at each program point
- The computed information characterizes all possible executions of the program

Basic methodology:

- Describe information about the program using an algebraic structure called a **lattice**
- Build constraints that show how instructions and control flow influence the information in terms of values in the lattice
- Iteratively solve constraints

We start by defining lattices and see some of their properties

A relation $\preccurlyeq \subseteq D \times D$ on a set D is a **partial order** iff \preccurlyeq is

- 1. Reflexive: $x \preccurlyeq x$
- 2. Anti-symmetric: $x \preccurlyeq y$ and $y \preccurlyeq x \Rightarrow x = y$
- 3. Transitive: $x \preccurlyeq y \text{ and } y \preccurlyeq z \Rightarrow x \preccurlyeq z$
- A set with a partial order is called a **poset**

Examples:

- If S is a set then $(P(S),\subseteq)$ is a poset
- (\mathbb{Z}, \leq) is a poset

Hasse Diagram

- If $x \preccurlyeq y$ and $x \neq y$, x is predecessor of y
- x immediate predecessor of y: if $x \preccurlyeq y$ and there is no z such that

 $x\preccurlyeq z\preccurlyeq y$

Hasse diagram:

- Directed acyclic graph where the vertices are elements of the set \boldsymbol{D}
- There exists an edge $x \rightarrow y$ if x is an immediate predecessor of y

Example.

• $x \preccurlyeq y$, $y \preccurlyeq t$, $z \preccurlyeq t$, $x \preccurlyeq z$, $x \preccurlyeq t$ $x \preccurlyeq x$, $y \preccurlyeq y$, $z \preccurlyeq z$, $t \preccurlyeq t$



- $D_n = \{ \text{all divisors of } n \}, \text{ with } d \preccurlyeq d' \Leftrightarrow d \mid d'$
- Draw the Hasse diagram for $D_{12} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$

- $D_n = \{ \text{all divisors of } n \}, \text{ with } d \preccurlyeq d' \Leftrightarrow d \mid d'$
- Draw the Hasse diagram for $D_{12} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$



Total Order

- Partial order: no guarantee that all elements can be compared to each other
- Total order (linear order): If for any two elements x and y at least one of $x\preccurlyeq y$ or $y\preccurlyeq x$ is true
- (\mathbb{N}, \leq) is total order
- Hasse diagram is one-track

 $| 4 \uparrow 3 \uparrow 2 \uparrow 1$

Subset Bounds

- Let (X,\preccurlyeq) be a poset and let $A\subseteq X$ be any subset of X
- An element, $b \in X$, is a **lower bound** of A iff $b \preccurlyeq a$ for all $a \in A$
- An element, $m \in X$, is an **upper bound** of A iff $a \preccurlyeq m$ for all $a \in A$
- An element, b ∈ X, is the greatest lower bound (glb) of A iff the set of lower bounds of A is nonempty and if b is the greatest element of this set
- An element, m ∈ X, is the least upper bound (lub) of A iff the set of upper bounds of A is nonempty and if m is the least element of this set



Find lower/upper bounds and glb/lub for these sets: $\{b, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{d, e, f\}$



Find lower/upper bounds and glb/lub for these sets: $\{b, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{d, e, f\}$

 $\{\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{d}\}$:

- Lower bounds: $\{b\}$ glb: b
- Upper bounds: $\{d,g\}$ lub: d because $d \preccurlyeq g$



Find lower/upper bounds and glb/lub for these sets: $\{b,d\},\{a,c\},\{d,e,f\}$

 $\{b, d\}$:

• Lower bounds: $\{b\}$ glb: b



• Upper bounds: $\{d,g\}$ lub: d because $d \preccurlyeq g$

 $\{a, c\}$:

- Lower bounds: $\{\}$ no glb
- Upper bounds: $\{h\}$ lub: h

Find lower/upper bounds and glb/lub for these sets: $\{b, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{d, e, f\}$

 $\{oldsymbol{b},oldsymbol{d}\}$:

• Lower bounds: $\{b\}$ glb: b



• Upper bounds: $\{d,g\}$ lub: d because $d \preccurlyeq g$

 $\{a, c\}$:

- Lower bounds: {} no glb
- Upper bounds: $\{h\}$ lub: h

 $\{d, e, f\}$:

- Lower bounds: {} no glb
- Upper bounds: {} no lub

Poset (D, \preccurlyeq) is called a lattice if

- For any $x, y \in D$, $\{x, y\}$ has a lub, which is denoted as $x \sqcup y$ (join)
- For any $x, y \in D$, $\{x, y\}$ has a glb, which is denoted as $x \sqcap y$ (meet)

Example.

- For $(P(B), \subseteq)$: $x \sqcap y = x \cap y$, $x \sqcup y = x \cup y$
- For (\mathbb{Z}, \leq) : $x \sqcap y = \min(x, y)$, $x \sqcup y = \max(x, y)$

Complete Lattice

- **Complete lattice** is a poset in which any subset (finite or infinite) has a glb and a lub
 - Every finite lattice is complete
- A complete lattice must have:
 - a least element \perp
 - a greatest element \top

Example: Power Set Lattice





- To show a poset is not a lattice, it suffices to find a pair that does not have an lub or a glb
- Two elements that don't have an lub or glb cannot be comparable
- View the upper/lower bounds on a pair as a sub-Hasse diagram: If there is no greatest/least element in this sub-diagram, then it is not a lattice



- To show a poset is not a lattice, it suffices to find a pair that does not have an lub or a glb
- Two elements that don't have an lub or glb cannot be comparable
- View the upper/lower bounds on a pair as a sub-Hasse diagram: If there is no greatest/least element in this sub-diagram, then it is not a lattice



- To show a poset is not a lattice, it suffices to find a pair that does not have an lub or a glb
- Two elements that don't have an lub or glb cannot be comparable
- View the upper/lower bounds on a pair as a sub-Hasse diagram: If there is no greatest/least element in this sub-diagram, then it is not a lattice



- To show a poset is not a lattice, it suffices to find a pair that does not have an lub or a glb
- Two elements that don't have an lub or glb cannot be comparable
- View the upper/lower bounds on a pair as a sub-Hasse diagram: If there is no greatest/least element in this sub-diagram, then it is not a lattice

- Information computed by e.g. live variable and available expressions analyses can be expressed as elements of lattices
- If $x \leq y$ then x is less or equally precise as y
 - i.e., \boldsymbol{x} is a conservative approximation of \boldsymbol{y}
- Top \top : most precise, best case information
- Bottom \perp : least precise, worst case information
- Merge function = glb (meet) on lattice elements
 - Most precise element that is a conservative approximation of both elements

Example: Available Expressions



• Trivial answer with zero information, allows no optimization: $\bot = \{\}$ (No expression available)

- If V is the set of all variables in a program and P the power set of V, then (P,\supseteq) is a lattice
- Sets of live variables are elements of this lattice
- Trivial answer with zero information, allows no optimization: $\bot = V$ (All variables are live, nothing is dead)

- Assume information we want to compute in a program is expressed using a lattice ${\cal L}$
- To compute the information at each program point we need to:
- Determine how each statement in the program changes the information
- Determine how information changes at join/split points in the control flow

- Data-flow analysis defines a transfer function $F:L\to L$ for each statement in the program
- Describes how the statement modifies the information
- Consider *in*(*S*) as information before *S*, and *out*(*S*) as information after *S*
- Forward analysis: out(S) = F(in(S))
- Backward analysis: in(S) = F(out(S))

- Consider statements $S = S_1;...;S_n$ with transfer functions $F_1,...,F_n$
- in(S) is information at the beginning
- out(S) is information after at the end
- Forward analysis:

$$out(S) = F_n(\cdots(F_1(in(S)))) = F_n \circ \cdots \circ F_1(in(S))$$

• Backward analysis:

$$in(S) = F_1(\cdots(F_n(out(S)))) = F_1 \circ \cdots \circ F_n(out(S))$$

- Data-flow analysis uses meet/join operations at split/join points in the control flow
- Forward analysis:

$$\mathit{in}(S) = \bigcap \{\mathit{out}(S') | S' \in \mathit{pred}(S) \}$$

• Backward analysis:

$$\mathit{out}(S) = \bigcap \{\mathit{in}(S') | S' \in \mathit{succ}(S) \}$$