Coursework 5

This coursework is worth 25% and is due on 25 January at 18:00. You are asked to implement a compiler targeting the LLVM-IR. Be careful that this CW needs some material about the LLVM-IR that has not been shown in the lectures and your own experiments might be required. You can find information about the LLVM-IR at

- https://bit.ly/3rheZYr
- https://llvm.org/docs/LangRef.html

You can do the implementation of your compiler in any programming language you like, but you need to submit the source code with which you generated the LLVM-IR files, otherwise a mark of 0% will be awarded. You are asked to submit the code of your compiler, but also the generated .11 files. No PDF is needed for this coursework. You should use the lexer and parser from the previous courseworks, but you need to make some modifications to them for the 'typed' version of the Fun-language. I will award up to 5% if a lexer and a parser are correctly implemented. At the end, please package everything(!) in a zip-file that creates a directory with the name

YournameYourFamilyname

on my end. You will be marked according to the input files

- sqr.fun
- fact.fun
- mand.fun
- mand2.fun
- hanoi.fun

which are uploaded to KEATS.

A Disclaimer

It should be understood that the work you submit represents your own effort. You have not copied from anyone else. An exception is the Scala code I showed during the lectures or uploaded to KEATS, which you can both use. You can also use your own code from the CW 1 – CW 4.

Task

The goal is to lex and parse 5 Fun-programs, including the Mandelbrot program shown in Figure 1, and generate corresponding code for the LLVM-IR. Unfortunately the calculations for the Mandelbrot Set require floating point arithmetic and therefore we cannot be as simple-minded about types as we have been so far (remember the LLVM-IR is a fully-typed language and needs to know the exact types of each expression). The idea is to deal appropriately with three types, namely Int, Double and Void (they are represented in the LLVM-IR as i32, double and void). You need to extend the lexer and parser accordingly in order to deal with type annotations. The Fun-language includes global constants, such as

```
val Ymin: Double = -1.3;
val Maxiters: Int = 1000;
```

where you can assume that they are 'normal' identifiers, just starting with a capital letter—all other identifiers should have lower-case letters. Function definitions can take arguments of type Int or Double, and need to specify a return type, which can be Void, for example

```
def foo(n: Int, x: Double) : Double = ...
def id(n: Int) : Int = ...
def bar() : Void = ...
```

The idea is to record all typing information that is given in the Fun-program, but then delay any further typing inference to after the CPS-translation. That means the parser should generate ASTs given by the Scala dataypes:

```
abstract class Exp
abstract class BExp
abstract class Decl
case class Def(name: String, args: List[(String, String)],
               ty: String, body: Exp) extends Decl
case class Main(e: Exp) extends Decl
case class Const(name: String, v: Int) extends Decl
case class FConst(name: String, x: Double) extends Decl
case class Call(name: String, args: List[Exp]) extends Exp
case class If(a: BExp, e1: Exp, e2: Exp) extends Exp
case class Var(s: String) extends Exp
case class Num(i: Int) extends Exp
                                       // integer numbers
case class FNum(i: Double) extends Exp // floating numbers
case class ChConst(c: Int) extends Exp // char constants
case class Aop(o: String, a1: Exp, a2: Exp) extends Exp
case class Sequence(e1: Exp, e2: Exp) extends Exp
case class Bop(o: String, a1: Exp, a2: Exp) extends BExp
```

This datatype distinguishes whether the global constant is an integer constant or floating constant. Also a function definition needs to record the return type of the function, namely the argument ty in Def, and the arguments consist of an pairs of identifier names and types (Int or Double). The hard part of the CW is to design the K-intermediate language and infer all necessary types in order to generate LLVM-IR code. You can check your LLVM-IR code by running it with the interpreter 11i.

Also note that the second version of the Mandelbrot program and also the Tower of Hanoi program use character constants, like 'a', '1', '\n' and so on. When they are tokenised, such characters should be interpreted as the corresponding ASCII code (an integer), such that we can use them in calculations like 'a' + 10 where the result should be 107. As usual, the character '\n' is the ASCII code 10.

LLVM-IR

There are some subtleties in the LLVM-IR you need to be aware of:

• Global constants: While global constants such as

```
val Max : Int = 10;
```

can be easily defined in the LLVM-IR as follows

```
@Max = global i32 10
```

they cannot easily be referenced. If you want to use this constant then you need to generate code such as

```
%tmp_22 = load i32, i32* @Max
```

first, which treats @Max as an Integer-pointer (type i32*) that needs to be loaded into a local variable, here %tmp_22.

• **Void-Functions**: While integer and double functions can easily be called and their results can be allocated to a temporary variable:

```
%tmp_23 = call i32 @sqr (i32 %n)
```

void-functions cannot be allocated to a variable. They need to be called just as

```
call void @print_int (i32 %tmp_23)
```

 Floating-Point Operations: While integer operations are specified in the LLVM-IR as

```
def compile_op(op: String) = op match {
   case "+" => "add i32 "
   case "*" => "mul i32 "
   case "-" => "sub i32 "
   case "==" => "icmp eq i32 "
   case "!=" => "icmp ne i32 "
   case "<=" => "icmp sle i32 " // signed less or equal case "<" => "icmp slt i32 " // signed less than
}
```

the corresponding operations on doubles are

```
def compile_dop(op: String) = op match {
  case "+" => "fadd double "
  case "*" => "fmul double "
  case "-" => "fsub double "
  case "==" => "fcmp oeq double "
  case "!=" => "fcmp one double "
  case "<=" => "fcmp ole double "
  case "<" => "fcmp olt double "
}
```

• **Typing**: In order to leave the CPS-translations as is, it makes sense to defer the full type-inference to the K-intermediate-language. For this it is good to define the KVar constructor as

```
case class KVar(s: String, ty: Ty = "UNDEF") extends KVal
```

where first a default type, for example UNDEF, is given. Then you need to define two typing functions

```
def typ_val(v: KVal, ts: TyEnv) = ???
def typ_exp(a: KExp, ts: TyEnv) = ???
```

Both functions require a typing-environment that updates the information about what type each variable, operation and so on receives. Once the types are inferred, the LLVM-IR code can be generated. Since we are dealing only with simple first-order functions, nothing on the scale as the 'Hindley-Milner' typing-algorithm is needed. I suggest to just look at what data is avaliable and generate all missing information by "simple means"...rather than looking at the literature which solves the problem with much heavier machinery.

• Build-In Functions: The 'prelude' comes with several build-in functions: new_line(), skip, print_int(n), print_space(), print_star() and print_char(n). You can find the 'prelude' for example in the file sqr.11.

```
// Mandelbrot program (without character constants)
val Ymin: Double = -1.3;
val Ymax: Double = 1.3;
val Ystep: Double = 0.05; //0.025;
val Xmin: Double = -2.1;
val Xmax: Double = 1.1;
val Xstep: Double = 0.02; //0.01;
val Maxiters: Int = 1000;
def m_iter(m: Int, x: Double, y: Double,
                   zr: Double, zi: Double) : Void = {
if Maxiters <= m</pre>
 then print_star()
else {
    if 4.0 <= zi*zi+zr*zr then print_space()</pre>
    else m_iter(m + 1, x, y, x+zr*zr-zi*zi, 2.0*zr*zi+y)
  }
};
def x_iter(x: Double, y: Double) : Void = {
  if x <= Xmax</pre>
then { m_iter(0, x, y, 0.0, 0.0) ; x_iter(x + Xstep, y) }
  else skip()
};
def y_iter(y: Double) : Void = {
  if y <= Ymax</pre>
then { x_iter(Xmin, y) ; new_line() ; y_iter(y + Ystep) }
  else skip()
};
y_iter(Ymin)
```

Figure 1: The Mandelbrot program in the 'typed' Fun-language.




Figure 2: Ascii output of the Mandelbrot program.