## Proof

Recall the definitions for regular expressions and the language associated with a regular expression:

$$r ::= 0 \qquad L(0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varnothing$$

$$| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ c \\ r_1 \cdot r_2 \\ r_1 + r_2 \end{array} \qquad L(c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{""\}$$

$$| \begin{array}{c} c \\ r_1 \cdot r_2 \\ r_1 + r_2 \end{array} \qquad L(r_1 \cdot r_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L(r_1) \circledast L(r_2)$$

$$| \begin{array}{c} c \\ c \\ r^* \end{array} \qquad L(r_1 + r_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L(r_1) \cup L(r_2)$$

$$L(r^*) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n \ge 0} L(r)^n$$

We also defined the notion of a derivative of a regular expression (the derivative with respect to a character):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} der \ c \ (\mathbf{0}) & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & \mathbf{0} \\ der \ c \ (\mathbf{1}) & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & \mathbf{0} \\ der \ c \ (d) & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & \mathbf{if} \ c = d \ \mathrm{then} \ \mathbf{1} \ \mathrm{else} \ \mathbf{0} \\ der \ c \ (r_1 + r_2) & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & (der \ c \ r_1) + (der \ c \ r_2) \\ der \ c \ (r_1 \cdot r_2) & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & if \ null \ able(r_1) \\ & & \text{then} \ ((der \ c \ r_1) \cdot r_2) + (der \ c \ r_2) \\ else \ (der \ c \ r_1) \cdot r_2 \\ der \ c \ (r^*) & \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} & (der \ c \ r) \cdot (r^*) \end{array}$$

With our definition of regular expressions comes an induction principle. Given a property *P* over regular expressions. We can establish that  $\forall r$ . *P*(*r*) holds, provided we can show the following:

- 1.  $P(\mathbf{0})$ ,  $P(\mathbf{1})$  and P(c) all hold,
- 2.  $P(r_1 + r_2)$  holds under the induction hypotheses that  $P(r_1)$  and  $P(r_2)$  hold,
- 3.  $P(r_1 \cdot r_2)$  holds under the induction hypotheses that  $P(r_1)$  and  $P(r_2)$  hold, and
- 4.  $P(r^*)$  holds under the induction hypothesis that P(r) holds.

Let us try out an induction proof. Recall the definition

$$Der \, c \, A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ s \mid c :: s \in A \}$$

whereby A is a set of strings. We like to prove

$$P(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L(\operatorname{der} c r) = \operatorname{Der} c (L(r))$$

by induction over the regular expression *r*.

## Proof

According to 1. above we need to prove  $P(\mathbf{0})$ ,  $P(\mathbf{1})$  and P(d). Lets do this in turn.

- First Case:  $P(\mathbf{0})$  is  $L(der c \mathbf{0}) = Der c (L(\mathbf{0}))$  (a). We have  $der c \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $L(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ . We also have  $Der c \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ . Hence we have  $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$  in (a).
- Second Case: P(1) is L(der c 1) = Der c (L(1)) (b). We have der c 1 = 0, L(0) = 0 and L(1) = {""}. We also have Der c {""} = 0. Hence we have 0 = 0 in (b).
- Third Case: P(d) is L(der c d) = Der c (L(d)) (c). We need to treat the cases d = c and  $d \neq c$ .

d = c: We have der c c = 1 and  $L(1) = \{""\}$ . We also have  $L(c) = \{"c"\}$  and  $Der c \{"c"\} = \{""\}$ . Hence we have  $\{""\} = \{""\}$  in (c).

 $d \neq c$ : We have der c d = 0. We also have  $Der c \{ "d" \} = 0$ . Hence we have 0 = 0 in (c).

These were the easy base cases. Now come the inductive cases.

• Fourth Case:  $P(r_1 + r_2)$  is  $L(der c (r_1 + r_2)) = Der c (L(r_1 + r_2))$  (d). This is what we have to show. We can assume already:

$$P(r_1): L(der c r_1) = Der c (L(r_1)) (I) P(r_2): L(der c r_2) = Der c (L(r_2)) (II)$$

We have that  $der c (r_1 + r_2) = (der c r_1) + (der c r_2)$  and also  $L((der c r_1) + (der c r_2)) = L(der c r_1) \cup L(der c r_2)$ . By (I) and (II) we know that the left-hand side is  $Der c (L(r_1)) \cup Der c (L(r_2))$ . You need to ponder a bit, but you should see that

$$Der c(A \cup B) = (Der c A) \cup (Der c B)$$

holds for every set of strings *A* and *B*. That means the right-hand side of (d) is also *Der*  $c(L(r_1)) \cup Der c(L(r_2))$ , because  $L(r_1 + r_2) = L(r_1) \cup L(r_2)$ . And we are done with the fourth case.

• Fifth Case:  $P(r_1 \cdot r_2)$  is  $L(der c (r_1 \cdot r_2)) = Der c (L(r_1 \cdot r_2))$  (e). We can assume already:

$$\begin{array}{ll} P(r_1): & L(der \, c \, r_1) = Der \, c \, (L(r_1)) \, (I) \\ P(r_2): & L(der \, c \, r_2) = Der \, c \, (L(r_2)) \, (II) \end{array}$$

Let us first consider the case where  $nullable(r_1)$  holds. Then

$$der c (r_1 \cdot r_2) = ((der c r_1) \cdot r_2) + (der c r_2).$$

The corresponding language of the right-hand side is

$$(L(\operatorname{der} c r_1) \otimes L(r_2)) \cup L(\operatorname{der} c r_2).$$

By the induction hypotheses (I) and (II), this is equal to

$$(Der c (L(r_1)) @ L(r_2)) \cup (Der c (L(r_2)). (**)$$

We also know that  $L(r_1 \cdot r_2) = L(r_1) @ L(r_2)$ . We have to know what  $Der c (L(r_1) @ L(r_2))$  is.

Let us analyse what Der c (A @ B) is for arbitrary sets of strings A and B. If A does *not* contain the empty string, then every string in A @ B is of the form  $s_1 @ s_2$  where  $s_1 \in A$  and  $s_2 \in B$ . So if  $s_1$  starts with c then we just have to remove it. Consequently, Der c (A @ B) = (Der c (A)) @ B. This case does not apply here though, because we already proved that if  $r_1$  is nullable, then  $L(r_1)$  contains the empty string. In this case, every string in A @ B is either of the form  $s_1 @ s_2$ , with  $s_1 \in A$  and  $s_2 \in B$ , or  $s_3$  with  $s_3 \in B$ . This means  $Der c (A @ B) = ((Der c (A)) @ B) \cup Der c B$ . But this proves that (\*\*) is  $Der c (L(r_1) @ L(r_2))$ .

Similarly in the case where  $r_1$  is *not* nullable.

• Sixth Case:  $P(r^*)$  is  $L(der c(r^*)) = Der c L(r^*)$ . We can assume already:

$$P(r): \quad L(der \, c \, r) = Der \, c \, (L(r))$$
(I)

We have  $der c(r^*) = der cr \cdot r^*$ . Which means  $L(der c(r^*)) = L(der cr \cdot r^*)$  and further  $L(der cr) @ L(r^*)$ . By induction hypothesis (I) we know that is equal to  $(Der c L(r)) @ L(r^*)$ . (\*)

Let us now analyse  $Der c L(r^*)$ , which is equal to  $Der c ((L(r))^*)$ . Now  $(L(r))^*$  is defined as  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} L(r)^n$ . We can write this as  $L(r)^0 \cup \bigcup_{n\geq 1} L(r)^n$ , where we just separated the first union and then let the "big-union" start from 1. Form this we can already infer

$$Der c (L(r^*)) = Der c (L(r)^0 \cup \bigcup_{n \ge 1} L(r)^n) = (Der c L(r)^0) \cup Der c (\bigcup_{n \ge 1} L(r)^n)$$

The first union "disappears" since  $Der c (L(r)^0) = 0$ .