

## CSCI 742 - Compiler Construction

Lecture 8 Introduction to Syntax Analysis Instructor: Hossein Hojjat

February 2, 2018

## **Compiler Phases**



#### Syntax Analysis: Example



Abstract syntax tree removes extra syntax (e.g. parenthesis)

# Analogy: for natural languages recognize whether a sentence is grammatically well-formed



- Parsing only checks syntax correctness
- Several important inspections are deferred until later phases
  - e.g. semantic analysis is responsible for type checking

Program with correct syntax:

int x = true; // type not agree int y; // variable not initialized x = (y < z); // variable not declared</pre>

- Input:	Stream of tokens
- Output:	Abstract Syntax Tree (AST

What we need for syntax analysis:

- Expressive description technique: describe the syntax
- Acceptor mechanism: determine if input token stream satisfies the syntax description

For lexical analysis:

- Regular expressions describe tokens
- Finite Automata is acceptor for regular expressions

- First problem:
  - how to describe language syntax precisely and conveniently
- Regular expressions can describe tokens expressively
- Regular expressions are
  - easy to implement
  - efficient by converting to DFA
- Why not use regular expressions (on tokens) to specify programming language syntax?

## Limits of REs

- Programming languages are not regular: cannot be described by regular expressions
- Consider nested constructs (blocks, expressions, statements)
- Example: language of balanced parentheses is not regular

   (()
   ())()()
   (())()()()()()))
   (())())
- Problem: acceptor needs to keep track of number of parentheses seen so far (unbounded counting)
- Automaton has finite memory, cannot count

## Limits of REs

- Programming languages are not regular: cannot be described by regular expressions
- Consider nested constructs (blocks, expressions, statements)
- Example: language of balanced parentheses is not regular () (()) ()()() (())()(((()))) ((()())) (()())) (()())
- Problem: acceptor needs to keep track of number of parentheses seen so far (unbounded counting)
- Automaton has finite memory, cannot count
- Question: How can we show that a language is non-regular?
- Answer: Pumping Lemma (refer to Computer Science Theory course)

- We use context-free grammars instead of finite state automata
- A specification of the balanced-parenthesis language using context-free grammar  $S \rightarrow (S)$

$$S \to SS$$
$$S \to \epsilon$$

• If a grammar accepts a string, there is a derivation of that string using the rules of the grammar

$$S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow (S)S \Rightarrow ((S))S \Rightarrow (())S \Rightarrow (())(S) \Rightarrow (())()$$

A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple G = (T, N, S, R) where

- $T{:}$  token or  $\epsilon$
- N: Non-terminal symbols: syntactic variables
- S: Start symbol: special non-terminal
- R: Production rule of the form LHS  $\rightarrow$  RHS
  - LHS: single non-terminal
  - RHS: a string of terminals and non-terminals

- Vertical bar is shorthand for multiple production rules
- We abbreviate

 $S \to p$  $S \to q$ 

• as 
$$S \to p \mid q$$

- Production rule specifies how non-terminals can be expanded
- $\bullet\,$  A derivation in G starts from the starting symbol S
- Each step replaces a non-terminal with one of its right hand sides
- Language L(G) of a grammar G: set of all strings of terminals derived from the start symbol

Give context-free grammars that generate the following languages under  $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$ 

1. all strings that contain at least three 1s

2. all strings with odd length and the middle symbol 0

Give context-free grammars that generate the following languages under  $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$ 

1. all strings that contain at least three 1s

$$\begin{split} S &\to X1X1X1X\\ X &\to 0X \mid 1X \mid \epsilon \end{split}$$

2. all strings with odd length and the middle symbol 0

Give context-free grammars that generate the following languages under  $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$ 

1. all strings that contain at least three 1s

$$\begin{split} S &\to X1X1X1X\\ X &\to 0X \mid 1X \mid \epsilon \end{split}$$

2. all strings with odd length and the middle symbol  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 

 $S \rightarrow 0S0 \mid 0S1 \mid 1S0 \mid 1S1 \mid 0$ 

• Inductively build a production rule for each regular expression operator

$\epsilon$	$S \to \epsilon$
a	$S \to \mathbf{a}$
$R_1 R_2$	$S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$
$R_1   R_2$	$S \rightarrow S_1   S_2$
$R_1*$	$S \to S_1 S   \epsilon$

where

- $G_1$ : grammar for  $R_1$ , with start symbol  $S_1$
- $G_2$ : grammar for  $R_2$ , with start symbol  $S_2$

• Grammar:

 $S \to E + S \mid E$  $E \to \mathsf{number} \mid (S)$ 

• Derive: (1+2) + 3

$$S \Rightarrow E + S \Rightarrow (S) + S \Rightarrow (E + S) + S$$
$$\Rightarrow (1 + S) + S \Rightarrow (1 + E) + S \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + S$$
$$\Rightarrow (1 + 2) + E \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + 3$$

- Parse Tree: tree representation of derivation
- Leaves of tree are terminals
- Internal nodes: non-terminals
- No information on order of derivation steps

#### Derivation

$$S \Rightarrow E + S \Rightarrow (S) + S \Rightarrow (E + S) + S$$
$$\Rightarrow (1 + S) + S \Rightarrow (1 + E) + S \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + S$$
$$\Rightarrow (1 + 2) + E \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + 3$$

**Another Derivation** 

$$S \Rightarrow E + S \Rightarrow (S) + S \Rightarrow (E + S) + S$$
$$\Rightarrow (E + E) + S \Rightarrow (E + E) + E \Rightarrow (1 + E) + E$$
$$\Rightarrow (1 + 2) + E \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + 3$$



#### Example

Consider the grammar  $G = (\{a, b\}, \{S, P, Q\}, S, R)$  where R is:

$$\begin{split} S &\to PQ \\ P &\to a \mid aP \\ Q &\to \epsilon \mid aQb \end{split}$$

Show a derivation tree for

aaaabb

Show at least two derivations that correspond to that tree.

#### Parse Tree vs. AST







