

CSCI 742 - Compiler Construction

Lecture 8 Introduction to Syntax Analysis Instructor: Hossein Hojjat

February 2, 2018

Compiler Phases

Syntax Analysis: Example

Abstract syntax tree removes extra syntax (e.g. parenthesis)

Analogy: for natural languages recognize whether a sentence is grammatically well-formed

- Parsing only checks syntax correctness
- Several important inspections are deferred until later phases
	- e.g. semantic analysis is responsible for type checking

Program with correct syntax:

int $x = true$; // type not agree int y; // variable not initialized $x = (y < z);$ // variable not declared

What we need for syntax analysis:

- Expressive description technique: describe the syntax
- Acceptor mechanism: determine if input token stream satisfies the syntax description

For lexical analysis:

- Regular expressions describe tokens
- Finite Automata is acceptor for regular expressions
- First problem:
	- how to describe language syntax precisely and conveniently
- Regular expressions can describe tokens expressively
- Regular expressions are
	- easy to implement
	- efficient by converting to DFA
- Why not use regular expressions (on tokens) to specify programming language syntax?

Limits of REs

- Programming languages are not regular: cannot be described by regular expressions
- Consider nested constructs (blocks, expressions, statements)
- Example: language of balanced parentheses is not regular () (()) (()()() (())(((()())) $(()(())$ $(())$
- Problem: acceptor needs to keep track of number of parentheses seen so far (unbounded counting)
- Automaton has finite memory, cannot count

Limits of REs

- Programming languages are not regular: cannot be described by regular expressions
- Consider nested constructs (blocks, expressions, statements)
- Example: language of balanced parentheses is not regular () (()) (()()() (())(((()())) $(()(())$ $(())$
- Problem: acceptor needs to keep track of number of parentheses seen so far (unbounded counting)
- Automaton has finite memory, cannot count
- Question: How can we show that a language is non-regular?
- Answer: Pumping Lemma (refer to Computer Science Theory course)
- We use context-free grammars instead of finite state automata
- A specification of the balanced-parenthesis language using context-free grammar $S \rightarrow (S)$

$$
S \to SS
$$

$$
S \to \epsilon
$$

• If a grammar accepts a string, there is a derivation of that string using the rules of the grammar

$$
S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow (S)S \Rightarrow ((S))S \Rightarrow (())S \Rightarrow (())(S) \Rightarrow (())()
$$

A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple $G = (T, N, S, R)$ where

- $T⁺$ token or ϵ
- N : Non-terminal symbols: syntactic variables
- \bullet S: Start symbol: special non-terminal
- $R:$ Production rule of the form LHS \rightarrow RHS
	- LHS: single non-terminal
	- RHS: a string of terminals and non-terminals
- Vertical bar is shorthand for multiple production rules
- We abbreviate

$$
S \to p
$$

$$
S \to q
$$

• as
$$
S \rightarrow p | q
$$

- Production rule specifies how non-terminals can be expanded
- A derivation in G starts from the starting symbol S
- Each step replaces a non-terminal with one of its right hand sides
- Language $L(G)$ of a grammar G : set of all strings of terminals derived from the start symbol

Give context-free grammars that generate the following languages under $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

1. all strings that contain at least three 1s

2. all strings with odd length and the middle symbol 0

Give context-free grammars that generate the following languages under $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

1. all strings that contain at least three 1s

 $S \rightarrow X1 X1 X1 X$ $X \to 0X \mid 1X \mid \epsilon$

2. all strings with odd length and the middle symbol 0

Give context-free grammars that generate the following languages under $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

1. all strings that contain at least three 1s

 $S \rightarrow X1 X1 X1 X$ $X \to 0X \mid 1X \mid \epsilon$

2. all strings with odd length and the middle symbol 0

 $S \rightarrow 0S0$ | 0S1 | 1S0 | 1S1 | 0

• Inductively build a production rule for each regular expression operator

where

- G_1 : grammar for R_1 , with start symbol S_1
- G_2 : grammar for R_2 , with start symbol S_2

• Grammar:

 $S \to E + S \mid E$ $E \to$ number $| (S)$

• Derive: $(1 + 2) + 3$

$$
S \Rightarrow E + S \Rightarrow (S) + S \Rightarrow (E + S) + S
$$

$$
\Rightarrow (1 + S) + S \Rightarrow (1 + E) + S \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + S
$$

$$
\Rightarrow (1 + 2) + E \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + 3
$$

- Parse Tree: tree representation of derivation
- Leaves of tree are terminals
- Internal nodes: non-terminals
- No information on order of derivation steps

Derivation

$$
S \Rightarrow E + S \Rightarrow (S) + S \Rightarrow (E + S) + S
$$

$$
\Rightarrow (1 + S) + S \Rightarrow (1 + E) + S \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + S
$$

$$
\Rightarrow (1 + 2) + E \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + S
$$

Another Derivation

$$
S \Rightarrow E + S \Rightarrow (S) + S \Rightarrow (E + S) + S
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow (E + E) + S \Rightarrow (E + E) + E \Rightarrow (1 + E) + E
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow (1 + 2) + E \Rightarrow (1 + 2) + 3
$$

Example

Consider the grammar $G = (\{a, b\}, \{S, P, Q\}, S, R)$ where R is:

 $S \to PQ$ $P \rightarrow a \mid aP$ $Q \to \epsilon \mid aQb$

Show a derivation tree for

aaaabb

Show at least two derivations that correspond to that tree.

Parse Tree vs. AST

1 2

 $+$ $+$ 3